

PRA Requests

Received From: 4/1/2018
Received To: 4/30/2018
Total: 210

Request ID	Requester Last Name	Requester First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requester Business Name	Request Status	Response	Response Summary
PRA - 2018 - 00623	Randolph	Allen	4/2/2018	Divorce Record from 1989 in Los Angeles County		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018 - 00624	Gruhl	Peter	4/2/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: From the City of Novato, the Marin County Board of Supervisors, and the Marin County Aviation Commission, any writing containing information relating to the recent nomination to the Marin County Aviation Commission (including information regarding the new commissioner, Thomas Engdahl, and / or the previous commissioner, Rick Beach) between September 1, 2017, and now. This should include, but not be limited to, any and all communications (including emails, notes, phone calls, text messages, appointments) between the Novato City Council or city staff, the Marin County Board of Supervisors members and staff, the Marin County Department of Public Works (DPW) including but not limited to Raul Rojas (County director DPW), Eric Steger (County assistant director DPW), Dan Jensen (airport manager), and Humphrey Ogg, Lou Franecke, and any other members of the Marin County Aviation Commission.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Novato, the Marin County Board of Supervisors, and the Marin County Aviation Commission, and the Marin County Department of Public Works, you may wish to direct your request to their respective offices. If you can provide more information as to why you believe the California Department of Justice might have these records, we can conduct a more directed search of the records in our custody.
PRA - 2018 - 00625	Olivas	Elisha	4/2/2018	Any and all information regarding my father, Louis Gonzaga Olivas DOB: [REDACTED] DOD: [REDACTED] I purchased the coroners report and autopsy years ago but have since lost it. I have the police report from his death but would like to have anything that is legally available.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

6253(c).)	Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.				
	In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .				
				Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
				Completed	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Your request mentions that these records are between staff members of San Diego State University. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that
				Completed	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Your request mentions that these records are between staff members of San Diego State University. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that
PRA - 2018-00626	Wong	Jeremy	4/2/2018	Seeking records regarding pepper spray self-defense incident on 3/24/2018 that occurred in front of 6297 Quartz Place, Newark CA 94560. Case name and number is unknown.	
PRA - 2018-00628	Pellonari	Zach	4/2/2018	Pursuant to rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: Request all publicly accessible correspondence (e-mails, memos, etc) between San Diego State University (SDSU) President Sally Roush, SDSU Provost Chukaka Enwemeka, SDSU Chief of Staff Gina Jacobs, and SDSU Senate Chair Marcie Bober-Michel from November 1, 2017 to March 29, 2018, regarding the topics of the SDSU Moniker, SDSU Mascot, and the SDSU Aztec Identity Task Force. I respectfully ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the records in question. As administrators of a public school, with salaries paid by tax payer dollars, Alumni (such as myself) have a right to see how these administrators have been handling the Aztec Moniker/Mascot debate behind closed doors. There blatant lack of transparency leaves no other recourse than to ask the state	

				<p>of California to release their correspondence.</p> <p>If I can provide any clarification that will help expedite your attention to my request, please contact me. I ask that you notify me of any duplication costs exceeding \$50 before you duplicate the records so that I may decide which records I want copied.</p>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

- 0063 3						DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking license-related records, you may wish to direct your request to either the Department of Motor Vehicles, or other licensing agency that regulates the type license that is the subject of your request.
PRA - 2018 - 0063 4	Juarez	Jaime	4/2/2018	Hello I would like to get a public record for my personal use. I was arrested back on July 6 2010 and would like to know if everything cleared from my record since I hire an attorney to sponge my record.	Completed	Jaime Tackett The Record Review and Challenge: Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints . Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for record review. The Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records, if you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0063 6	Miller	Cheryl	4/2/2018	Can you or your office please provide me with the names of the 18 additional attorneys that were anticipated to be hired by the AG's office by the end of February with the additional funding provided in the 2017-18 budget?	Completed	Nancy Doig As a preliminary matter, some of the attorneys who have been approved to fill some of the open SDAG and DAG positions have not yet begun working for the Department of Justice (the Department) and, therefore, the hiring process for those persons has not yet been completed. In order to protect their privacy interests, we are withholding their names at this time. (See Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; see also Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (c) & (k).) That being said, the following attorneys have been or will be appointed to fill the open positions: In the Antitrust Law Section: • SDAG Michael Jorgenson was appointed on January 25, 2018. • One DAG candidate is pending final approval for hire. • One DAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of May 7, 2018. In the Environment Section: • Special Assistant Christie Vosburg was appointed on March 1, 2018. • DAG Scott Lichtig started in mid-February.

							<ul style="list-style-type: none">• One DAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of May 1, 2018.• A second DAG candidate has also been approved for hire. The candidate's pending start date is May 21, 2018. In the Civil Rights Enforcement Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• A SDAG candidate has been approved for hire and has an anticipated start date of April 16, 2018.• Four DAG candidates have completed interviews, with one candidate having been approved with a pending start date. In the Health, Education and Welfare Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SDAG Kathleen Boergers was appointed on January 31, 2018.• DAG Nimrod Elias started on February 1, 2018.• DAG Kari Eisenberg started on February 1, 2018.• DAG Neli Palma started on March 1, 2018.• DAG Anna Rich started on March 6, 2018.• DAG Brenda Ayon Verduzco started on March 15, 2018. In the Government Law Section: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SDAG Paul Stein was appointed on April 9, 2018. The Gambling Control Act provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it is unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c). <p>For the foregoing reasons, your request for the described records under the Public Records Act is denied.</p>
PRA - 2018-00638	Turner	Erick	4/2/2018	We are seeking any all records related to the DOJ's investigation of Yaniv Gohar, Orei Gohar, May Levy, and Eran Buhbut related to the alleged illegal operation of video slot machines. We are also seeking any and all records related to the criminal complaints filed against the same individuals.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	
PRA - 2018-00639	Thurston	Nancy	4/2/2018	On March 16, 2018, in Sacramento County at 7880 Orange Avenue, Fair Oaks, CA 95628, at about 10:30 PM, there were sheriffs walking on that property with flashlights on. Why were the sheriffs at the 7880 property? Who notified them? What were they looking for? Did they find it? Was anyone charged? There have been so, so many similar incidents at this property, over the years. It is very disconcerting and worrisome to know that something so serious is going on at the property that abuts mine that requires sheriffs to come out to investigate.	Completed	Erin Nickless	
PRA - 2018-00639	Hopp	Richard	4/2/2018	1. The names of all law enforcement departments and its authorized law enforcement personnel registered under the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS). 2. The dates of the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS) electronic data breach from January 1, 2017, to April 1, 2018.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	

0064 2				3. The name and contact information of the most knowledgeable person in regards to the California Pawn and Secondhand Dealer System (CAPSS) electronic data breach.			representative. In regard to item (2) and (3), after consulting with various programs within the DOJ, it has been determined that the California Justice Information Services Division is unaware of the CAPSS electronic data breach, therefore does not have responsive records for these items. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0064 4	Amin	Farzan a	4/2/2018	Looking for any criminal records on Maryam Esfahani Sanaei, she is 45 years old, [REDACTED] She is an RN currently in California. Also is it possible to know if she has any bankruptcy reports.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but seeks records possibly in the control or custody of a federal agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code § 6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a federal government agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Bankruptcy cases cannot be filed in state court. Bankruptcy cases are heard in the US Bankruptcy Court. Please find information regarding the US Bankruptcy Court on the internet at: http://www.uscourts.gov/bankruptcycourts.html . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 - 0064 7	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/2/2018	1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any contracts, agreements, payments, and/or emoluments, that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any DOJ contract, agreement, communications, emoluments, and/or payments to, from, and/or about Pino Ronulfo Navarro, Ronulfo Navarro, and/or any person using the nickname "Pino" who resides at or visits [REDACTED] or who is believed to be a friend and/or boyfriend of or co-habitant with Anne Christopher including but not limited to any that constitute, mention, relate, or refer to any actions contemplated or taken regarding Parvin Olfati, [REDACTED], and/or any house or residence believed to be used or used by Ms. Olfati, including but not limited to any vandalism, entry, roof damage, poisoning, yard poisoning, or other activity at or affecting [REDACTED] directly or indirectly through any person or entity (including but not limited to Barb Andres and Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED]) 2. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any job, personnel action, and/or promotions for Pino Ronulfo Navarro, any	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA - 2018 - 00649	Olifati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/2/2018	<p>person with the nickname Pino, and/or Ronulfo Navarro, at any employer or entity, including but not limited to the State of California Department of General Services.</p> <p>3. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any communications to, from, and/or about any person with the nickname Pino, Pino Ronulfo Navarro, and/or Ronulfo Navarro that mention, refer to and/or relate to any action contemplated or taken regarding Parvin Olifati, Patty Olifati, Ms. Olifati and/or Olifati and/or any residence believed to be used by her, including but not limited to any monitoring, eavesdropping or other surveillance of anyone named Olifati and/or [REDACTED], including but not limited to any use of any portion of the property at [REDACTED] for any of these activities.</p> <p>4. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any actions contemplated or taken involving Pino Ronulfo Navarro, any person with the nickname Pino, and/or Ronulfo Navarro regarding Parvin Olifati, any automobile or property believed to be used by Parvin Olifati, any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olifati, and/or [REDACTED], California 95819, including but not limited to records that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any unauthorized entry, vandalism, roof damage, yard poisoning, basement entry, basement tampering, equipment tampering, poisoning, or other trespassing, entry, or activity at or directed at [REDACTED], either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED].</p> <p>5. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any contemplated or actual action taken regarding any animal believed to in companionship with Parvin Olifati or to be present at [REDACTED], including but not limited to any poisoning of, injury to, or death of any dog named Sasha or K ko, either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED].</p> <p>6. Any and all emoluments, electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any contemplated or actual action taken regarding or directed at [REDACTED] at any time in 2017 or 2018, including but not limited to any roof vandalism, roof tarp cutting, basement vandalism, yard poisoning, and/or unauthorized entry, either directly or indirectly through Barb Andres/Cecil Taylor of 1870 45th Street and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED], and including but not limited to the use of either [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] to take any action regarding or directed at [REDACTED].</p> <p>7. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Any disclosable records in our possession that are related to your case would have been enclosed on the discs that our office provided to you on October 18, 2016, which we replaced for you again as a courtesy on June 8, 2017. If it is not in the file provided, it means that we do not have a copy of this record in our possession, and therefore cannot provide it to you.
PRA - 2018 - 00658	Perez	Victoria	4/2/2018	<p>1. Any and all draft declarations, revised declarations, and/or declarations of Jeff McGuire, including but not limited to any true and correct copy that has not been sanitized and/or altered, used in or in any way related to the Sacramento County Superior Court civil case of Parvin Olifati vs. State Board of Equalization, Tim Boyer, John Thiella, Brian Brannine, And Does 1-100, Case No 04 ASO 3695.</p> <p>2. Any and all draft declarations, revised declarations, and/or declarations of Steven Alari, including but not limited to any true and correct copy that has not been sanitized and/or altered, used in or in any way related to the Sacramento County Superior Court civil case of Parvin Olifati vs. State Board of Equalization, Tim Boyer, John Thiella, Brian Brannine, And Does 1-100, Case No. 04 ASO 3695.</p> <p>3. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Aviva Burmas	Initially, we note that your request was made under the Freedom of Information Act. This is a federal law that is not applicable to requests for records of a state agency. Accordingly, we are treating your request as an inquiry under the California Public Records Act which is applicable to state agency records. The Public Records Act is contained in

PRA - 2018 - 00712	Martin/Dohaniuk	Sonny/Maria	4/2/2018	<p>1) Filed on July 26, 2001 PEOPLE OF STATE of CA. vs. 01 SONNY T. MARTIN # MAO21132 SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA for CO. OF LOS ANGELES Judge: Carol Koppel Reporter: Judy L. Eaton Information Filed 01/16/2001. # MAO21132 Def.NO. 05 07/16/2001 COURT CORRECTS ITS ORDER DATED 07/16/2001 Another CORRECTION 07/24/2001 2) Printed: July, 24, 2001 MINUTE ORDER SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO. OF LOS ANGELES 3) DATE of Hearing 07/16/2001 ABSTRACT OF JUDGEMENT-PRISON COMMITMENT-DETERMINATE (2 pages). 4) Documents stating Crimes Committed on 05/30/2001. PROBATION #/Officer X1780709 COUNSEL for Defendant T. SPRATT 5) DOCUMENTS specifying ENHANCEMENTS or any information RE. Sonny T. Martin T26791 you may have on file, before or after 2001. There may be other Documents I do not know about, if so please, let me know. He needs his complete RECORD of Legal, Criminal and any documented History. I am a Representative for Sonny T. Martin and some relatives. This Inmate contacted me from the Psychiatric Unit of CSP Sacramento in 2014 at a time he was ill physically /emotionally and reported having no</p>	Completed	Irene Chavez	<p>Government Code section 6250 et seq. It was a pleasure speaking with you regarding your request. As we discussed, all criminal referrals received are reviewed to some extent. Beyond the initial review, the investigation of a criminal referral can take many forms and levels (many of which are not tracked in a way that can be separated out) and requires that a criminal matter first be "opened." We are able to provide you with the total number of criminal matters opened since 2003/2004, following the initial review of the criminal referral received by the Bureau. Furthermore, the Bureau opens a matter also whenever the Office of the Attorney General is served with a civil False Claims Act complaint, which alleges Medicaid fraud losses, and which is primarily filed under court seal by a qui tam plaintiff. Some False Claims Act cases may be brought by the state; however, the Bureau does not maintain records in a way that distinguishes between the two. The table below lists all False Claims Act matters opened since 2003/2004, and also Other Matter Types opened. Fiscal Year Criminal Matters Opened False Claims Act Matters Opened Other Matter Types Opened 2003/2004 2035 24 3 2004/2005 1802 61 9 2005/2006 1717 63 10 2006/2007 1294 38 5 2007/2008 1275 40 2 2008/2009 1263 63 8 2009/2010 976 95 14 2010/2011 794 130 11 2011/2012 706 92 10 2012/2013 740 93 17 2013/2014 817 98 9 2014/2015 874 95 18 2015/2016 799 89 6 2016/2017 812 92 19 2017/2018 (complete only through March 31, 2018) 564 53 5</p>
							<p>On April 11, 2018, Irene Chavez sent an extension letter. On April 25, 2018, Irene Chavez responded: As per your records request, we have located the following documents from our files for the appeal in People v. Sonny T Martin, California Court of Appeal Case No. B152016: 1. CT's 1/2/01 - Two Volumes; 2. Order from COA dated 9/25/01; 3. Order from COA dated 12/14/01; 4. Probation Officer's Report 7/17/01; 5. Probation Officer's Report 1/ 16/01; 6. RT's - from 12/29/00; 7. Appellant's Opening Brief dated 3/5/02; 8. Respondent's Brief dated 6/3/02; 9. COA Opinion dated 8/8/02; 10. Appellant's Reply Brief dated 6/25/02; 11. CT's dated 1/ 12/01 - Two Volumes; 12. RT dated 12/30/01 - One Volume; 13. U.S. District Court Order dated 3/29/04;</p>

				<p>incentive/reason to live. He found my name / address as I have worked in the capacity of Chaplain with Mental Health Systems.</p> <p>Many of his Legal Documents had been lost (as this apparently happens when inmates move from cell to cell frequently) I was able to retrieve some, there may be more. At this time Sonny's status is being questioned as to whether he falls into the category of a non-violent or violent inmate? This to him and CDCR Staff IS very important. They/he are looking at CREDITS worked for and Probation consideration as well as Time of Parole. If you need written authorizing stating this inmate needs me to work in his behalf, I can provide it. He is destitute and has no funds, no family member is involved in his life presently. Presently, he is at Men's Colony State Prison, San Luis Obispo.</p> <p>His mother died of CA. in early 2015. I am very concerned about his records Not corresponding to his history.</p> <p>He does not have access to a phone, computer or Attorney he can afford.</p> <p>If there is something unclear regarding what I am requesting, please contact me by phone, email or in writing.</p>			<p>14. RT's dated 12/30/01 - 1 Volume; 15. Czar Ltr. dated 11/30/01; 16. COA Ltr. dated 9/25/01; 17. Applic. For Scaled Transcript dated 11/30/01; 18. COA Order dated 12/14/01; 19. Appellant's Opening Brief dated 3/12/102; 20. COA Judgment dated 8/8/02; 21. Respondent's Brief dated 6/3/02; 22. Petition for Review Order dated 10/16/02; 23. RT's dated 9/2/01 - Six Volumes; 24. U.S. District Notice of Filing dated 2/5/04; 25. U.S. District Return to Petition for Writ dated 11/26/03; 26. U.S. District Notice of Appeal dated 6/4/04; 27. U.S. District Habeas Petition filed 7/28/03; 28. U.S. District Order dated 7/3 1/03 ; 29. U.S. District Traverse filed 1/21/04; 30. U.S. District Report & Recommendation dated 2/5/04; 31. Petition for Review dated 9/16/02; 32. Appellant's Reply Brief dated 6/26/02; and 33. RT's dated 9/10/01 - Six Volumes.</p> <p>Thank you for your anticipated cooperation in the above request. All documents have been copied and provided, any missing documents are NOT available.</p>
PRA - 2018-00713	Martin/Do whaniuk	Sonny/Maria	4/2/2018	Blank e-mail	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your e-mail was blank and we therefore cannot process your request. If you can identify the specific records that you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA - 2018-00645	Yonga	Jerry	4/3/2018	<p>I am requesting an opportunity to obtain or inspect copies of public records that contain the records of Data Breaches reported by Healthcare Industry between 2015-2017. The report will contain only breaches that impacted over 500 patients. The report should include the original submission letters from the entity notifying the CA Attorney General and the closure letter showing what decision was taken by the healthcare entity to mitigate future attacks.</p> <p>On April 13, 2018, Mr. Yonga followed up: My name is Jerry Yonga and currently pursuing a PHD program in Healthcare Management. On April 3, 2018, I submitted a request for healthcare data breach. I am following up to know the status of my request. Please provide me with an update regarding the current status of my request. Thank you very much.</p>	Completed	Jeffrey Steele	<p>Our office publishes the data security breach notices we receive pursuant to California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f). https://oag.ca.gov/eodme/databreach/list The data breach notices made available on our website are listed in reverse chronological order, and also are searchable. The notices include statements by the entity about "What Happened," "What Information Was Involved," "What We Are Doing," "What You Can Do," and "For More Information." In addition, we have conducted a further search of our records. Enclosed is a copy of the complaint and the final judgment and permanent injunction against Cottage Health and related entities that also is responsive to your request.</p> <p>We respectfully deny the remainder of your request. Any additional records that you seek would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, mid, therefore, exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)</p>
PRA - 2018	Garemani	Maggie	4/3/2018	<p>I would like proof of my name change, I changed my name from Mahboubbeh Garemani (or Mahboubbeh Ghahremani) to Maggie Garemani many years ago. Please let me know how I can get this information. Thank you.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public</p>

- 00646						records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Most legal name changes are processed in a local court. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.					records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Most legal name changes are processed in a local court. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018-00648	Archuleta	Gerald	4/3/2018	I can't remember when I was married. I think in 1991 or 1992. My "EX"'s wife's name is Jennifer Vincent Archuleta. Looking for the marriage certificate or at least the date of marriage.		Completed	Erin Nickless				To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018-00650	Slowiczek	Josh	4/3/2018	Documents showing Complaints to the California Attorney General Regarding a Charity or Charitable Donation regarding nonprofit associations focused on law enforcement and sheriff's departments over the last ten years.		Completed	Scott Chan				All documents that are matters of public record regarding nonprofits, including nonprofits related to law enforcement entities are available for viewing at the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y . You could search by using the "Organization Name" or by using "State Charity Registration Number" or other identifying search criteria. To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the exemptions listed below, we must decline your request. Some of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254,

subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)

The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning these records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)

Records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.

Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege of sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation and are not normally made available to the general public are privileged where there is necessity for

PRA - 2018 - 0065 1	Slowiczek Joshua	4/3/2018	Documents showing the number of investigations and arrests made by the Bureau of Investigation's Special Investigation Team related to matters of public corruption over the last 10 years.	Comp leted	Shannon Patterson	<p>preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>DLE sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 26, 2018, DLE responded: The DOJ has complied the statistics you requested from our Special Investigation Team "related to matters of public corruption over the last 10 years".</p> <p>Number of Investigations - 83</p> <p>Number of Arrests - 34</p> <p>Number of arrest during each year (2008-2018) - 2008-6, 2009-6, 2010-4, 2011-10, 2012-8, 2013-4, 2014-7, 2015-7, 2016-14, 2017-13, 2018-4</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0065 2	Ruggiero Angelo	4/3/2018	<p>This is a request (under the California Public Records Act see Government Code Section 6250, et seq. - as may be required or appropriate) to be provided with a "Certified Copy" of the following document: ****"Assurance" Agreement dated on or about 12/2010 as between State of California (Attorney General Office thereof) and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Wells Fargo & Company) - comprised of approximately 28 pages (Twenty Eight), and reflecting signature block date of 12/16/2010, and signatory(ies) of "Benjamin G. Diehl" on behalf of Attorney General (State of California) and "Michael J. Heid, Executive Vice President" on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ---and any and or all supplement(S) or amendment(S) thereto***</p> <p>The requesting party is hereby covenanting (promising) to remit a dollar amount of "Not To Exceed \$100.00 (One Hundred Dollars) [U.S.] to defray any and or all costs of providing a "Certified Copy" of the above requested public record of the State of California.</p> <p>Said remittance will be tendered either via Credit Card and or Check [Money Order or Cashier's Check] per the preference and instructions of the State of California and Attorney General Office public records staff.</p> <p>Should you require additional clarification or specificity of identification in regards to the public record requested, please kindly contact the requesting party (A. Ruggiero) via telephone [REDACTED] (0) or electronic mail [REDACTED]</p>	Comp leted	Amos Hartston	<p>Enclosed is a copy of the requested document. Our office is not able to provide a certified copy.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0065 3	Morlan Dakota	4/3/2018	<p>Digital copy of the DA complaint against Sutter Health filed March 30, 2018. Deadline is early tomorrow. Thanks!</p>	Comp leted	Kathleen Foote	<p>Your request was for a "DA" complaint filed on March 30 against Sutter Health. I believe you may have intended to specify a complaint filed by the Attorney General's Office against Sutter on March 29, which was announced in a press conference the next day. You may find a copy of the complaint online at https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/attachments/press_releases/Sutter%20Complaint.pdf.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0065 5	Jamerson Jill	4/3/2018	<p>We are requesting records of Open Estates Proceeding and Death Certificate for Don Lee Jones, DOB [REDACTED]. His last known residence was [REDACTED]. Do we need to physically appear at superior court to search and obtain these public records? We are hoping to obtain his date of death via online services. We appreciate your guidance.</p>	Comp leted	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.</p> <p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records</p>

PRA - 2018-00656	Davidson	Michelle	4/3/2018	Attached is a Public Records Request Form for James Steven Farrell for convictions in Solano County, CA back in 1983 and 1988. Please let me know if you need any additional information or anything else to complete this request. 1.) Conviction - Felony- 02/17/1983-Age 27 - Solano County, CA- Lewd or Lascivious Acts with a Child Under 14 2.) Conviction - Felony -12/06/1988 -Age 33- Solano County, CA- Lewd or Lascivious Acts with a Child Under 14	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 111076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records.</p> <p>California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA - 2018-00657	Carter	John	4/3/2018	I need a copy of my marriage certificate. My full name John Nereus Carter. I married Carol Jean Arnold in Santa Clara County at the Stanford Chapel on [REDACTED]. I do not know if this is the correct venue to search for this record. I need the certificate for Social Security Benefits for my wife. If I am at the wrong site please inform me of how to procure this document.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00659	Bourke	Thomas	4/3/2018	<p>Please permit this letter to serve as a formal demand under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq). The information and documents requested are identified below.</p> <p>A. Information</p> <p>Identify each Government Employee or Officer, including Governor Jerry Brown against whom Paul Bruno (aka P. Leonard Bruno) has filed an administrative claim.</p> <p>B. Documents</p> <p>1. All documents that constitute any administrative claim lodged or filed by Paul Bruno (aka P. Leonard Bruno) against the State of California or Governor Jerry Brown.</p> <p>2. All documents that constitute any administrative claim lodged or filed by Paul Bruno aka P. Leonard Bruno regarding the Equal Protection Clause and California's laws regarding Registered Domestic Partnerships.</p> <p>C. Discussion</p> <p>It is our understanding that as of April 3, 2018, Paul Bruno (possibly using his alias P. Leonard Bruno), has filed I filed an administrative claim against the State of California that requires administrative exhaustion. It is our understanding that he claims to have a multi-million-dollar claim against California and the Governor. It is our understanding that he bases it on Equal Protection Clause precedent and claims that California's Registered Domestic Partner law is bad legislation.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the above-described documents or information qualify for an exemption from disclosure, it is requested that you note whether, as is normally the case under the Public Records Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>If you decline to produce the documents and you assert an exemption under the Public Records Act, please consider producing the factual information contained in the records in another form. In other words, if your department has factual information about Paul Bruno, P. Leonard Bruno, or his administrative claims that it may disclose, please consider whether you can provide that information in another way, even if you decline make some or all of the requested documents available.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>	<p>the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00670	Williams	Gregory	4/3/2018	<p>I would like to receive all court documents for John Wesley Hunter who plead guilty to rape, armed robbery and attempted murder charges in Los Angeles, California. I believe the trial took place from 1986 to 1987.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was tried by a district attorney, you would need to contact the district attorney's office.</p>	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was tried by a district attorney, you would need to contact the district attorney's office.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00729	Choate	Dawn	4/3/2018	<p>Need to get a Individual exemption request for placement of a child</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have been unable to locate information based on the information provided. If this is a child custody issue, you may need to contact Family Court services the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#. If you request is related to criminal history, you may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage.</p>	<p>We have been unable to locate information based on the information provided. If this is a child custody issue, you may need to contact Family Court services the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#. If you request is related to criminal history, you may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00732	Rollins	Jason	4/3/2018	I am writing today to request under the California Public Records Act (Gov Code section 6250 et seq) a copy of the following public records: A copy of all available retirement disbursements or retirement checks made to the following individual: - Judge Mike Cummins. - Michael Richard Cummins.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. This individual does not appear to have been employed by the California Department of Justice. You may wish to contact the court in which this individual is or was a Judge, or the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) if this individual was a California employee, to see if the records that you seek are available.
PRA - 2018 - 00660	Stormes-Swan	Patrick	4/4/2018	(1) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843, Date Filed 05/10/2017, Defendant's name: Kyle James Larson, DA No. OCF 89001; (2) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Kyle James Larson, the defendant and driver of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (3) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Brandi Hartfield, a witness and passenger of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (4) All documents that pertain, relate, or refer to Mireyya Hernandez, the decedent and passenger of the subject vehicle in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (5) All videos, photos, electronically stored information, social media, text messages, emails, and surveillance footage that pertain, relate, or refer to the fatal car collision or related events in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843; (6) all reports, witness statements (including those made under oath), scientific test orders and results, and toxicology and intoxication screenings, results, and narratives, that pertain, relate, or refer to the fatal car collision or related events in People v. Larson, San Diego Superior Court, North County Division, Criminal, Case No. SCN372843.	The Gomez Firm	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 00666	Zuniga	Monica	4/4/2018	BOHNHOF, Peter James DOB [REDACTED] SSN [REDACTED] Investigation Title: Jackson Check Fraud Investigation Number: BGC-SA2008-00065 Type of Crime/Incident: PC 459 Date of Report: 7/09/2008 We have received report # BGC-SA2008-00065 for one of our applicants, Peter Bohnhof, for check fraud. However, the report refers to additional supplemental reports that were not included: 0806P-3332 (video and reports); 0806P-4474 (video and reports); 0806P-3005, 0806P-3332, 0806P-4474 (Jackson Rancheria Tribal reports) Additional attachments not included: 006-001 Butte county reports C08-14106, C08-14269 and C08-14271 If an official records request is needed, please let me know and I can fax one over.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	R. Kopchak spoke with Monica at the Commission on Teacher Credentialing and clarified that she received our report via court documents. She told her that the other case numbers she referenced were not from our agency. Ms. Zuniga confirmed nothing was required from DOJ.
PRA - 2018 - 00671	Eslamboly	Firouz	4/4/2018	I need all records for Ellis Act case No. LD052672		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and logical places and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our

PRA - 2018-00672	Not given	Salsa	4/4/2018	Seeking a 9-11 transcript on behalf of their client		Completed	Erin Nickless	Only contact information provided was phone number. Called three times using two separate lines and got a busy signal. These are not records in our office's possession.	research into the matter shows that the Ellis Act relates to landlord-tenant matters. Since you are seeking landlord-tenant case records, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018-00676	Taylor	Florie	4/5/2018	Under the California Public Records Act (Govt. Code 6250-6270), I am requesting the following information: All criminal, incident, and investigation reports relating to a hit and run accident involving an unknown (offender) and myself. This information is related to Traffic Collision Report #181206590 All 911 recordings, transcripts, and dispatch printouts related to the requested report and all 911 recordings, transcripts, and dispatch printouts responding to the intersection of Manchester Ave and Harvard Blvd on 2/2/18 after my call to 911 made between 14:40 and 14:50 from my personal cell telephone number listed below, in my voice, to report offense 20002(a) VC. The responding officer's badge number is #43122 My only recollection of the offending vehicles plate number was provided during the 8 minute call with the 911.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call and police reports. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) "While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."] To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .	
PRA -	Gould	Kenneth	4/5/2018	Good morning, California is one of only a few states that requires both state licensure of gun dealers and the regular reporting of all firearms sales through those dealers. I'm interested in seeing what kind		Completed	Robert	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are	

2018 - 00677			<p>of data are available based and that reporting requirement (and potentially from any compliance audits done by state and local law enforcement in California).</p> <p>I'm currently building a predictive model that quantifies the probability that a given gun dealer is a bad apple (i.e., gun crime is disproportionately tied to that dealer through a firearms trace). These bad apple gun dealers may be heavily tied to gun crime through straw purchasing, diversion to the illegal market or off-the-book transactions.</p> <p>Gun dealer sales data collected in CA could potentially prove helpful as I build the model since certain sales or dealer characteristics may be predictive of bad business practices. Given the imperfect nature of gun traces and the resource challenges of the ATF to conduct compliance inspections, the model I'm building could help state and local law enforcement target I kely bad apples.</p> <p>As a first step, could you provide me with a summary of what kind of data are available? I could then follow-up with a specific public records request. Or, please direct me to another state agency if you think that's the way to go. Thanks for your help!</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>As per above, please email me summary of what kinds of data are available. I can then follow up with a detailed description of the data file I'm looking for.</p>			Willson	<p>"exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).)</p> <p>Specific information about dealers is exempt from public disclosure by express provisions of law. (See Pen. Code, § 26715, subds. (c) and (d) [Information compiled from the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers available for law enforcement purposes, to determine the validity of the license for firearm shipments, for validating gun show participants, and only to the extent necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status; Pen. Code, § 28475 [Information compiled from the Centralized list of Exempted Federal Firearm Dealers available for law enforcement purposes and for determining the validity of the license for firearm shipments].) Information concerning specific firearms is similarly exempt from public disclosure.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void."))</p> <p>The best source of information we can direct you to is the OpenJustice website: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications and the Bureau of Firearms website: https://oag.ca.gov/firearms. There, you will find a great deal of information that may be helpful for your research.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00689	Braxton II	Michae I	4/5/2018	Any public written documentation which established legal authority, authorization and/or permission for the Joint Regional Intelligence Center (JRIC) (Los Angeles), located at 12440 East Imperial Highway Norwalk, CA 90650, to come into existence at said address, and to operate as a Joint Regional Intelligence Center, specifically during the time periods of 2006-2009.	Completed		<p>To date, public records has not received the response from the program.</p>
PRA - 2018 -	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to communications to, from, with and/or about the United States Department of State that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED] including but not limited to any communications regarding travel plans, passports, and/or passport surveys.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

00690				2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PRA - 2018 - 00691	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any sofa or bed in any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED], and [REDACTED], including but not limited to any attempt to implant or place any toxin, poison, or substance on, in and/or near any sofa or bed. 2. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that the DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA - 2018 - 00692	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	1. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record, and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communication to, from, with and/or about M&M Pain Management Anti-Cancer Center "M&M" (whose address is [REDACTED] and is referred to herein as "M&M") and/or any proprietress or agent of M&M (including but not limited to Wei Li) that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Ms. Olfati, Olfati, including but not limited to any statement or communication regarding medical services sought, requested, and/or received by any person named Olfati, including but not limited to any unsolicited statement from Wei Li to Parvin Olfati that "you haven't been poisoned." 2. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record, and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication to, from, with and/or about M&M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any statement or communication urging, suggesting, directing and/or communicating that any medical services sought by Parvin Olfati should be interfered with or tampered with in any way, including but not limited to any statement that lab tests or poison tests should be avoided or should not be performed. 3. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memorandum, document, record and/or writing that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communication to, from, and/or about M&M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li) that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any statement that there should be no testing or diagnosis of Parvin Olfati for poisoning or carbon monoxide. 4. Any contract, agreement, or other understanding or arrangement entered into or proposed to be entered into with M&M and/or any proprietress or agent (including but not limited to Wei Li), including but not limited to any entered into in return for any action taken by or communication made by M&M and/or Wei Li regarding any person named Olfati. 5. Any referral or recommendation of M&M and/or Wei Li to any person or entity, including but not limited to the State of California Department of Health Services, any hospital, and health care organization, and/or any medical services provider. 6. In addition, for any records requested in Request Items 1, 2, 3, 4 and/or 5 that DOJ claims have been destroyed, transferred, altered, or are otherwise unavailable, please produce any and all records of such destruction, transfer, alteration, and/or nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA - 2018 - 00693	Olfati (April 2018)	Parvin	4/5/2018	1. Any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, memoranda, letters, documents, records and/or writings that constitute, mention refer to, and/or relate to any emoluments, payments, communications, or actions taken or contemplated regarding any placement of any anti-freeze or antifreeze substance in the yard, sidewalk, driveway or structure of any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED] 2. For any documents, records and/or writings requested herein that DOJ claims have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide any all records reflecting the claimed destruction or other reason for nonavailability, together with applicable records retention policies.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA - 2018 - 00728	James	Jesse	4/5/2018	The initiative ballot proposition text for Prop 57 at the time of original submission.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	Enclosed, are copies of the initiatives related to your request, Initiative 15-0121, "The Justice and Rehabilitation Act" and Initiative 15-0121 A 1, "The Public Safety and Rehabilitation Act of 2016". This completes our response to your request.

PRA - 2018 - 0067 8	Fayne Zachary	4/6/2018	<p>All internal or external documents or correspondence in which the California Attorney General's Office has addressed whether a warning or proposed warning under California's Proposition 65 (the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986) is "clear and reasonable," including whether such warning or proposed warning complies with: (1) the Proposition 65 statute (Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.7); (2) regulations adopted by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25600 et seq.); and/or (3) regulations adopted by the California Attorney General (11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3202).</p> <p>This includes, but is not limited to, correspondence in which the Attorney General has taken a position on Proposition 65 warnings or proposed warnings that: (a) reference conflicting findings by other regulatory, scientific, or authoritative bodies; (b) do not use the phrase "known to the state to cause" cancer or reproductive toxicity; (c) include additional information beyond the information required by 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601 (operative until August 30, 2018); and/or (d) include additional information beyond the safe harbor warnings adopted by OEHHA in 27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25603.2, 25604.2, and 25605.2 (all of which are operative until August 30, 2018).</p>	Completed	Susan S. Fiering, Sup DAG	<p>On April 16, we provided a written response to your request, stating our objections to the request and describing our intent to construe the request narrowly because it was overbroad. In our response we noted that we identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we noted that we reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there were any documents in those files that were responsive to your request. Finally~ we stated that we did not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.</p> <p>In our April 16 letter we also stated that we were undertaking a keyword search of the email communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to locate responsive e-mails. We stated that we would start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return.</p> <p>We have now completed our e-mail search of the relevant employees. Our initial use of the above search terms yielded an excessive number of documents (35,000) that made it infeasible for us to review. We ultimately narrowed the search to "clear and reasonable." We are producing those documents that are responsive to your request and are not otherwise exempt from disclosure as attorney client communications (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), attorney work product (ibid.), confidential settlement discussions (id. at §§ 6254, subd. (k) and 6255), and other confidential communications with private parties. (Ibid.)</p> <p>The enclosed CD contains documents Bates numbers AG02d000001 to AG02d005932. Please note that we have only produced documents that contained the search terms, and have not produced all documents that were attached to the produced document, but did not contain the search term and were therefore not responsive to your request.</p> <p>On April 17, 2017 we provided a CD of the responsive documents we had identified that were not exempt from disclosure on any of the grounds stated in our April 16, 2017 letter. This concludes our response to your Public Records Act request. Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p>
------------------------------	----------------------	----------	---	-----------	---------------------------	--

A. Overbroad

As a preliminary matter, your request is overbroad and burdensome. The Attorney General's Office does most of its filing by case, not by issue. The issue of "clear and reasonable" warnings comes up in virtually every Proposition 65 case, even if just in the context of stating that the safe harbor warning was "clear and reasonable" and consistent with the statute and regulations. Your request would therefore require us to go through all of our extant materials from the last thirty years. Further, because the request seeks all records that address whether a warning complies with Proposition 65, it could potentially relate to every settlement the AG has done in Proposition 65 in which we inform the court that the settlement, which includes a warning, complies with the statute and regulations. Reviewing all of the case files could take months of work and would not likely lead to the production of relevant documents.

The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently formulated. Although the Attorney General's Office will not conduct a search responsive to your original request, in a good faith effort to provide you with relevant documents on a timely basis, we have construed your request to call for a narrower subset of documents that is neither overbroad nor unduly burdensome. Thus, we have identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, have had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and have searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we have reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there are any documents in those files that are responsive to your request. We do not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.

We are also undertaking a key word search of the e-mail communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to determine if we can find any non-privileged e-mails that address alternatives to the safe harbor warning. We will start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return.

We anticipate that the search will take some time

because we need assistance from our technology department and litigation support group.

B. Exemptions from Disclosure

In addition to the records we are producing, a number of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure and will not be produced.

1. Confidential Attorney Client Communications

Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act.

(Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHA"). Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and OEHA concerning clear and reasonable warnings under Proposition 65, where the Attorney General was acting in his role as counsel to OEHA, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act and will not be disclosed.

2. Confidential Attorney Work Product

The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

Accordingly, we will not disclose any internal communications between personnel in the Attorney General's Office concerning warnings under Proposition 65. Similarly, draft litigation documents and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and will not be produced. Finally, confidential communications with attorneys at the District Attorneys' Offices concerning enforcement of Proposition 65 will not be disclosed.

3. Confidential Communications with Private Parties

Information gathered by a government agency under assurances of confidentiality may be withheld if it is in the public interest to do so. The official information privilege appears in Evidence Code section 1040 and is incorporated into the Public Records Act through section 6254(k). Evidence Code section 1040(b) (2) provides that a government agency may refuse to disclose "official information," where "there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the

interest of justice(.)" In addition to the above, Government Code section 6255 provides that the agency may withhold a document where "on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not making the record public clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record."

Attorney General communications with private parties either pursuant to an investigation of a matter, or in the context of settlement discussions or potential objections to settlements are frequently conducted with an understanding of confidentiality on both sides. This confidentiality must be protected in order to maintain the ability of the Attorney General to conduct investigations, to resolve litigation, and to work with private parties to ensure that settlements comply with the law. Maintaining the confidentiality of those communications is necessary to allow the Attorney General to conduct his role as the chief law enforcement officer under Proposition 65, and as the entity that oversees private party enforcement. Without some promise of confidentiality, private parties, whether they are plaintiffs or defendants, will be unwilling to meet and confer with the Attorney General to discuss settlements and resolutions of issues. The interest of the Attorney General in being able to accomplish his duties outweighs any interest the public may have in the confidential documents. The Attorney General will therefore not produce any such confidential communications.

Because of the breadth of your request, even as narrowed above, and the need to search through multiple sets of documents and e-mails, we anticipate a rolling production. We plan to send out a DVD or CD containing all of the non-exempt documents, except e-mails, on or about April 17, 2018. We will let you know once we have a better sense of when we will be able to complete the review of the e-mails.

April 17, 2018 response: As promised in my April 16, 2018 response to your Public Records Act Request dated April 6, 2018, we are hereby enclosing a CD with responsive documents Bates Nos. AG000001 through AGO20313. We are continuing our collecting and review of e-mails and I will let you know once we determine how long that review will take and when we expect to provide a response.

On May 9, 2018, Susan Fiering sent an update: Dear Mr. Fayne, we are still reviewing e-mails in response to your Public Record Act Request of April 6, 2018. We anticipate that the review will be completed this week and we will be able to produce any responsive documents sometime in the next two weeks. Please let me know if you have any questions.

On June 26, an update was sent: Zach, in response to your PRA request, we have completed our review of the e-mail communications and will be providing you with a CD in the next several

					<p>days. I will send you a pdf of the cover letter and will send the CD in the overnight mail.</p> <p>On June 28, 2018, a final response was sent: On April 16, we provided a written response to your request, stating our objections to the request and describing our intent to construe the request narrowly because it was overbroad. In our response we noted that we identified the current employees who work most directly on Proposition 65 cases, had each person identify the cases he or she worked on or were aware of in which alternatives to the "safe harbor" warning under Proposition 65 were specifically discussed, and searched the electronic files of those cases to find documents responsive to your request. In addition, we noted that we reviewed the electronic files of those cases in which we have commented on private party settlements under Proposition 65, as well as files of those cases that deal with general Proposition 65 issues, to determine if there were any documents in those files that were responsive to your request. Finally~ we stated that we did not intend to provide any documents filed in National Wheat Growers et al. v. Zeise et al., since you and your law firm are already in possession of those documents.</p> <p>On April 17, 2017 we provided a CD of the responsive documents we had identified that were not exempt from disclosure on any of the grounds stated in our April 16, 2017 letter.</p> <p>In our April 16 letter we also stated that we were undertaking a keyword search of the email communications for those employees who work or worked most directly on Proposition 65 to locate responsive e-mails. We stated that we would start with the search terms "safe harbor warning," "safe-harbor warning," "warning language" and "clear and reasonable," and potentially narrow the search further based on the results these terms return. We have now completed our e-mail search of the relevant employees. Our initial use of the above search terms yielded an excessive number of documents (35,000) that made it infeasible for us to review. We ultimately narrowed the search to "clear and reasonable." We are producing those documents that are responsive to your request and are not otherwise exempt from disclosure as attorney client communications (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), attorney work product (bid.), confidential settlement discussions (id. at §§ 6254, subd. (k) and 6255), and other confidential communications with private parties. (Ibid.)</p> <p>The enclosed CD contains documents Bates numbers AG02d00001 to AG02d005932.</p> <p>Please note that we have only produced documents that contained the search term, and have not produced all documents that were attached to the produced document, but did not contain the search term and were therefore not responsive to your request.</p> <p>This concludes our response to your Public</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA - 2018 - 00679	Ortiz	Liliana	4/6/2018	marriage record			Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>Records Act request. Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00680	Odom	Tammy	4/6/2018	Clifton Tyre Odom III, Dui on March 14, 2017 [REDACTED], Tuolumne County, Sonora, CA			Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00681	Hamilton	Amanda	4/6/2018	<p>On behalf of my client, Kenneth Roys, I am requesting the following:</p> <p>-Photos: 21 pictures taken by Officer Swift ID 021918 #E0170617-720</p> <p>- CAD Log</p> <p>- CAD Tape (Audio) associated with the motor vehicle accident on 10/14/2017 in Santa Cruz County. Traffic Collision Report Number 9720-2017-08520. NCIC # 9720. Officer ID 021918</p>			Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Traffic collision reports may also have been filed with the Department of Motor Vehicles.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0068 3	Sachman yan	Oganes	4/6/2018	<p>Please furnish this office with a copy of a 911 Transcript.</p> <p>Our Client & Caller: Leonid Janumyan Originating Telephone #: [REDACTED] Date of Loss & Call: January 24, 2018 Time of Call: 11:00 a.m. Location of Collision & call: Woodman Ave. / Heart St., Van Nuys, CA 91405 LAPD Responding Officers: Marshall 38399 and LAFORCE 27792 (Valley Traffic Div.) Traffic Collision Report #: 180905243 Please be advised that Law Offices of Oganes J. Sachmanyan has been retained by the above-named client to represent him in any and all claim(s) arising out of the above-referenced accident. Please furnish this office with a copy of a 911 Transcript for our use in properly evaluating and presenting his claim.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Specifically, you are seeking a transcript of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0070 8	Contreras	Joe	4/6/2018	<p>Trial and sentencing court transcripts of David Arenas Olivera, Case Number CR28041</p>	Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	<p>Our office has no records responsive to your request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0073 8	Rubine	Jessica	4/6/2018	<p>I am a law student doing research on e-mail retention policies and I am wondering if it's possible to get a copy of the DOJ's policy? In particular, a policy that outlines how long emails must be retained in the DOJ agency would be most helpful.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>On April 15, 2018, our office sought an extension. On April 20, 2018, we responded via three e-mails as follows:</p> <p>E-mail 1: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Department Of Justice Administrative Manual, Chapter 4, section 2 regarding records management 2. Administrative Bulletin No. 16-09 - Retention of DOJ Records 3. Records retention schedules for the California Justice Information Services Division 4. Records retention schedules for the Civil Law Division <p>Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes.</p> <p>E-mail 2: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Records retention schedules for the Criminal Law Division 2. Records retention schedules for the Executive Division 3. Records retention schedules for the Division of Administrative Support <p>Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes.</p> <p>E-mail 3: On April 15, 2018, our office extended our time to respond to this request to search for and</p>

PRA - 2018 - 01079	Sher	Kathy	4/6/2018	My questions are regarding the fingerprint records kept on file for purposes of providing Subsequent Arrest Notification to licensing agencies, including the fingerprint records of State Bar licensees. Does DOJ access these records, or allow access to any other entity, for any purpose other than the provision of Subsequent Arrest Notification to the licensing agencies? If so, what access is permitted, and for what purposes? I would also like to know what measures are taken to keep the database of fingerprint records secure from unauthorized access.	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Fingerprint images obtained for noncriminal justice purposes, e.g., employment, licensing, certification, child placement, etc., are maintained by the DOJ for the purpose of providing subsequent notifications until the DOJ is informed by the entity that the entity is no longer interested in the applicant, pursuant to Penal Code section 11105.2. The fingerprint images are not accessed for any other purpose than to provide subsequent notifications. There is no access to the Automated Fingerprint Information System (AFIS), except for internal program resources that maintain the fingerprint records.	collect records from many different divisions and sections within our office. Attached please find the following: 1. Records retention schedules for the Division of Law Enforcement 2. Records retention schedules for the Public Rights Division Additional documents will be produced in two other e-mails, to prevent the e-mail from being declined due to file sizes. Please confirm if you have received all three e-mails. This is the third e-mail, and completes our response to this request.
PRA - 2018 - 00682	Selck	Colleen	4/9/2018	I would like the official job title of the Public Records Coordinator, the department that person works in, their job description, pay, and the number of public records requests processed per month. Thank you. 04-11-18 follow up question: Thank you for the information. The numbers of requests you provided are sufficient. I do have a question about pay. Are those amounts per week? Again thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	The Public Records Coordinator is classified as an Associate Governmental Program Analyst with the California Department of Justice's Civil Law Division. The salary range for an Associate Governmental Program Analyst is \$4,784.00 - \$5,988.00. Please see the attached duty statement which outlines the duties of the Public Records Coordinator. Regarding your question which requests the number of public records requests processed per month, would it be possible for you to narrow your request to a specific date range? I can provide the numbers we have readily available for annual totals for 2017 and 2018. Between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2017, our office processed approximately 1,968 requests. Since January 1, 2018 to today's date, our office has processed over 700 requests. If you can provide a specific time frame to narrow the scope, I can better address this part of your request. April 11, 2018 response to follow up: The amount quoted, \$4,784.00 - \$5,988.00, is the monthly salary for the position.	
PRA - 2018 - 00684	Moxley	R. Scott	4/9/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, I seek to inspect the following records pertaining to the California Highway Patrol's Fullerton Airport outpost: --Rosters of all employees for the last five years; --All employee travel and reimbursement expenses for the last five years; --All purchases above \$750 for the last five years; --All ethical guidelines for CHP pilots.	OC Weekly	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control	

PRA - 2018 - 00685	Dorris	Madelynn	4/9/2018	I don't know his case number, or really anything about it but his name is Mark Edward Dorris and he's my father. I do know he's had restraining orders against him if that helps, one by Jennifer L Martin, and a crazy chick named Amber, but that's really all i can say.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>of a local or another state agency; in this instance, the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00686	Mathews	Elanor	4/9/2018	Court, criminal records for ex husband John Vincent Mathews. I'm trying too see if there is any new information to help my daughter proceed with court action for molestation.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request for court records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or</p>

						deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . We note that you are seeking information on behalf of a victim of a crime. While the Public Records Unit is unable to provide this information, other resources may be available by contacting our office's Victim Services Unit. Information on how to contact them can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices .
PRA - 2018 - 00687	Peacock Michael	4/9/2018	I would like the entire case file pertaining to a disciplinary action filed on or about March 24, 2015 by the AG's office on behalf of the Medical Board of California against Kush Kumar, M.D., Case No. 800-2014-006024, including: (1) pleadings filed, (2) any attorney appearances that were filed or submitted, (3) any correspondence sent to or received from Dr. Kumar and/or any representative of Dr. Kumar, and (4) any orders entered in the proceedings. Comments: I am an attorney licensed to practice in Georgia and Dr. Kush Kumar is my client.	Completed	Jane Zack Simon	<p>1. Responsive Records</p> <p>The records that are responsive to your request and subject to disclosure are attached. The records produced are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Emails between Kush Kumar and the Attorney General's Office• Notice of Defense filed by Kush Kumar, with attachment• May 2015 Letter and Order from Georgia Composite Medical Board• Emails between attorney Ken Crowder and Attorney General's Office• Proposed Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and Stipulated Surrender of License• Letter from Kush Kumar to Medical Board of California with attachment• Signed Stipulated Surrender of License• Decision of Medical Board of California, with Accusation attached.• Email from Kush Kumar to Attorney General, forwarded to attorney Ken Crowder <p>2. Records Exempted from Disclosure</p> <p>A. Records Under the Control of Other Agencies</p> <p>Your Public Records Act request is directed to the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, and this response is made solely on behalf of the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General. If you wish to obtain records that may be in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct a request to the appropriate agency.</p> <p>B. Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of writings that reflect an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. (Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030). This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure (Government Code section 6254(k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00688	Bonilla (NBCuni)	Bethney	4/9/2018	<p>Pursuant to the California Public Records Act, I hereby request the following records maintained by the California Department of Justice:</p> <p>All records and/or the complete database consisting of all California registered firearm owners. I would also like to request the record layout or data dictionary used for this information. In other words, the definition of what each field means and any codes used.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833). Records such as confidential analyses, draft language, and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. To the extent that records were prepared by deputy attorneys general to offer their impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, those records are attorney work product, exempt from disclosure, and will not be produced.</p> <p>C. Attorney Client Privilege</p> <p>Documents which fall under the attorney client privilege will not be provided. The attorney client privilege protects confidential communications between attorney and client.</p> <p>(Evidence Code section 954). Government Code section 6254(k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney client privilege. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 262, 270). Public entities may assert the attorney client privilege, which applies to communications in the course of professional employment intended to be confidential. (id., at p. 397). As the Office of the Attorney General represents the Medical Board of California in its disciplinary proceedings and before administrative tribunals and courts, communications between the Board and the Attorney General's Office are privileged and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>D. Office Information Privilege</p> <p>Pursuant to Evidence Code section 1040, which is incorporated into the Public Records Act (Government Code section 6254(k)), any reports or findings about the requested information not covered by the attorney client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine that are acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and are not hitherto open, or officially disclosed, to the public, are protected by the official information privilege. As such, those records are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>E. Investigative Files</p> <p>To the extent your Request seeks disclosure of records in investigatory files, those records are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254(f). The exemption continues to apply even if the investigation is closed. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354).</p> <p>Under the Public Records Act (PRA), government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).) Government Code section 6254.19 is one such exemption. It provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure</p>
--------------------	------------------	---------	----------	---	-----------	---------------	---

PRA - 2018 - 00696	Brown Stephanie	4/9/2018	<p>Any information stored into the Western States Information network in the RISSIntel and RISSafe databases referencing and/or in connection to any or all of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ferdinand Casila DOB: [REDACTED] AKA Fernando Casila • Andrea Hougan DOB: [REDACTED] • Cynthia Garcia DOB: [REDACTED] • Reaksa Lim DOB: [REDACTED] AKA Rex Lim • Phone: [REDACTED] • Phone: [REDACTED] • Phone: [REDACTED] • Phone: [REDACTED] <p>I'm looking for the WSIN information connected to these people and phone numbers. Email delivery is fine.</p>	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.) The Department of Justice's ("DOJ") firearms database is a primary tool for use in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys, the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property. (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) Public disclosure of the "complete database consisting of all California registered firearm owners" presents an unacceptable risk to public safety because it would expose the entire database to attack. For that reason, we decline this part of your request.</p> <p>The information in the database is similarly protected against public disclosure. Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void."))</p> <p>Inasmuch as California law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records, it clearly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The DOJ must therefore deny your request for this information.</p> <p>Initially we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice (DOJ) but seeks records from Western States Information Network (WSIN). Absent a request for representation by another agency, DOJ will respond on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of WSIN we suggest that you direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We are unable to provide you information referenced in your request.</p>
--------------------	-----------------	----------	--	-----------	-------------------	---

PRA - 2018 - 0069 7	Bhoomi	Anisha	4/9/2018	I would like to request a bid tabulation/analysis for RFQ 17-192 CURES Cognos Analytics Reports. Here is some information we are seeking: 1. Awarded Vendor 2. All bids submitted (soft copies) 3. Bid Tabulation		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) cannot comply with your request at this time as the Request for Proposal 17-192 is still under evaluation, therefore not public record. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0069 8	Andrews	Mike	4/9/2018	Date case numbers and documents for the last 5 years if you have any for Jefferey John Dreyer DOB. [REDACTED]		Completed	William D. Gardner	On April 18, 2018, William Garner sent a fees letter: The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd. (b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page. Your request for records has generated 313 pages of disclosable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$31.30. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. Please make sure to provide the desired mailing address for the records. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA - 2018 - 0069 9	Sheehan (Fresno Bee)	Tim	4/9/2018	I am requesting data for numbers of CCW issuances in California, on a county-by-county basis, from 2000 through 2017 (or the latest available figures compiled by your office). In past years, the AG's Office has published a county-by-county detailing of CCWs (example: 2007 Annual CCW Issuances Report, http://ag.ca.gov/firearms/forms/pdf/ccwissuances2007.pdf). If such data is available on a city-by-city basis, I would request that as well. Additionally, I am requesting data on firearms registrations in California, on a county-by-county basis, for each year from 2000 through 2017 (or the latest available figures compiled by your office. To the extent that this data can be broken down on both a city-by-city basis, and by type of weapon (shotgun/rifle/longarm, assault-style weapon, handgun, etc.), I request that data as well.		Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 19, 2018, an extension of time letter was sent. On May 23, 2018, our office responded: Please find enclosed the information that we have that is responsive to your request. The Department does not maintain historical data for CCWs, so unless a report has already been generated for a previous year, it cannot be compiled at a later date. As such, the Department has provided you with CCW statistics from 2012 to March 9, 2018. For your second request, we are unable to provide you with data on firearms registrations in California. However, we can provide you with the enclosed Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) transactions processed by DOJ from 1972 to 2017. This information can also be found on our website: https://oag.ca.gov/firearms . No further information or documents will be produced as the remaining information and documents requested are exempt from disclosure under the Act on the following grounds: Under the Public Records Act, government records are open and subject to public inspection unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) (incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law).) Government Code section 6254.19 is one

PRA - 2018 - 00700	Huckaby	Clayton	4/9/2018	I would like to obtain all documentation concerning officer-involved shootings that have been reported to the Office of the Attorney General by the Kern County Sheriff's Office. It is my understanding that California law requires every law enforcement office and department to report every instance of an officer-involved shooting to the Office of the Attorney General since 2005. I would like the names of every individual shot by a Kern County Sheriff's employee, the name of the Kern County Sheriff's employee that shot the individual, and any determinations made after an investigation.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>such exemption. It provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.)</p> <p>The Department of Justice's ("DOJ") firearms database is a primary tool for use in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys, the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property. (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) Public disclosure of the "firearms registrations in California" presents an unacceptable risk to public safety because it would expose the entire database to attack. For that reason, we decline this part of your request.</p> <p>The information in the database is similarly protected against public disclosure. Penal Code Section 11106 requires the DOJ to retain specific firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." However, California law restricts the disclosure of this information "to the officers referred to in [Penal Code] Section 11105." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a).) California courts have consistently upheld this restriction. (See Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Consequently, the data on firearm owners (even if names and serial numbers are redacted) is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832 (The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void.").) Inasmuch as California law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records, it clearly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The DOJ must therefore deny that part of your request.</p> <p>When we sought clarification on April 11, 2018, you clarified that you would like death in custody report for Kern County 2005-present. The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
--------------------	---------	---------	----------	--	-----------	----------------	---

PRA - 2018-00702	Small (KOED)	Julie	4/9/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq I request the following information in electronic format for all in-custody deaths (excluding state prisons) for all counties in California. 1. All death in custody reports submitted on Form BC/A 713 to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2010 through the present date. 2. All death in custody annual summaries on inmates under jail jurisdiction submitted on form J-9A submitted to the to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2007 through March 2018.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), please find attached responsive document. This data is current through April 19, 2018. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained. In regard to item (2), the J-9A form is a federal form that county jails complete and send directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation; therefore, the DOJ does not receive or retain this information.
PRA - 2018-00772	Busse	Thomas	4/9/2018	The California State Parks Foundation did not appear on your website's charity search. I wish to review a copy of its RRF-1 with attachments inclusive of the State tax return and donor disclosure. I am trying to determine if a donation from a developer named "Don Davis" listed in its annual report is an unreported FPCC behested payment or possibly even a bribe, as the State Parks Foundation's ED is a member of the San Francisco Board of Education. I'm hoping the State tax return will disclose the exact amount of Mr. Davis's "donation" The State Parks foundation's EIN is 94-1707583, and its Business entity number is C0581544	Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	All documents that are matters of public record regarding California State Parks Foundation are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y . You could search by using the "Organization Name" (California State Parks Foundation) or by using its "State Charity Registration Number" (011757).
PRA - 2018-00814	Thompson (AP)	Don	4/9/2018	Bethany: As discussed, we request tallies of the total number of firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by year, from 2013 to now. We also request the total number of firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by year and by region or by APPS team. May 7, 2018 follow up: I wanted to check on the status of this request. The deadline outlined in the attached letter was last Thursday. I emailed the bureau but haven't heard back from anyone there since then. Separately, anything of note expected this week?	Completed	Robert Wilson	On April 24, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter On May 8, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: Please find enclosed the information you requested on firearms seized under the APPS program broken down by region. We have also enclosed a list of which counties are included in each region.
PRA - 2018-00703	Booker	Lois	4/10/2018	Any records you have of me. I was harassed, bullied, threatened and evicted. I have contacted every local, county, state and federal office and many attorneys, they all have taken my info., offered services only to put in my social security number and turn me down immediately, while assuring me all these acts were violations of my Civil rights. Something has to be on my record that I am not aware, but out of hundreds of cries for help, none of them has been honest enough with me to let me know what they see in my records that is making them assure me and deny me services at the same time. Was told by one of the Fed Offices that sure these were all violations, they had no category to put any of them under, FEDERAL?	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death-Still-Birth--Fetal-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents

PRA - 2018 - 00704	Lewis Regina Id	4/10/2018	Eviction filing...filing date (May 18th 2015) Disposition : 3 npr +Plain Judge- Judge Rest	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00731	Stone Jeanna	4/10/2018	Trying to request my Birth Certificate Jeanna Lea Crank	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00753	McRae Courtney	4/10/2018	April 10 follow up request to March 29 request: Would the office be able to provide simply the number of complaints and years made involving the entities requested? (Carrington Colleges, DeVry University, or Adtalem Global Education, Inc.)	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our previous e-mail, we must decline your request for the number of complaints as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records of the confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00705	Franks Jason	4/11/2018	transcript of 911 call made on Feb 11th 2018. the case number is NW 2018-0048252 I would like to know what the original report to the police was when the arrestee called 911 on me only to be the one arrested	Completed	Erin Nickless	practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 00706	Hinojos Mark	4/11/2018	complete record for use in employment	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows: -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 -	Woods Steven	4/11/2018	Last known address or phone number of Christine Lynn Woods Bishop, born [REDACTED]. Our mother is dying and we cannot reach her. We believe that she was a legal secretary in the Pacifica area for a while.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your

00709									request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018-00711	Casanova	Lizette	4/11/2018		I need copies of a closed cps case or family law case, I recently got served with paper work for joint custody of my 10 year old son by the absent parent, He lost his parental rights through cps and family law in 2013. I need proof of this case. my name the child's name is [REDACTED] the father is Victor Vazquez	Completed	Erin Nickless		You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. If you are seeking Child Protective Services documents, you will need to contact Child Protective Services.
PRA - 2018-00718	Combs	Brandon	4/11/2018		We respectfully request all public records and information regarding the recently-posted Department of Justice proposed regulations (OAL File # 2018-0409-01) ("Firearms: Identifying Info and the Unique Serial Number Application (USNA) Process"). This is a matter of great public concern. Thus, if we cannot receive an electronic copy of the records before noon tomorrow (April 12, 2018) via reply e-mail to this address, we would be happy to visit your office and personally inspect the information. If we do not hear from you before noon tomorrow, we will assume that we will need to personally inspect the information in order to timely access it. See: https://oal.ca.gov/proposed-regulations/	Completed			This PRA was received by Bureau of Firearms and Office of Administrative Law (OAL). OAL Sr. Attorney Rick Smith responded: In response to your Public Records Act request of today, all we have in response to your request is the regulation text and the rulemaking file submitted by the Department of Justice in OAL file no. 2018-0409-01. A copy of the regulation text is attached. We will also scan and email a copy of the rulemaking file for this action to you by the close of business on Friday, 4/13/2018. The rulemaking file is approximately 400 pages and we do not have the staff to send it to you sooner than that. If you prefer to come to our office to examine the rulemaking file, please make an appointment with me. I can schedule a 30-minute appointment for you to review the rulemaking file from 1:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Thursday, 4/12/2018, or from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Friday, 4/13/2018.
PRA - 2018-00773	Spriggs	Scott	4/11/2018		Date of Incident: 8/28/2017 Location of Incident: Keen and Dusk Drive, San Diego, California Police Department: San Diego Report No.: 17900726 Incident No.: 17080046949 Would like to obtain two witness videos that the police obtained.	Completed	Erin Nickless		Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.

PRA - 2018 - 0071 5	Lin Torres	Raina	4/12/ 2018	IT Department and/or Procurement Organizational Chart I'd like to request an Organizational Chart for the IT Department that includes the managers' name and contact information (email/phone number if possible).		Completed	Jaimie Tacke tt	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 6	Torres	Maria	4/12/ 2018	Jan 15, 2018 0715 911 recording Hit and Run on Rodgers Place Burbank Ca. Female dispatcher		Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording and/or transcript of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 7	King	Robert	4/12/ 2018	Medical records on or about 8/11/2015		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking medical records. Therefore, we must decline your request for the foregoing reasons. Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received. While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings. Medical Records Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.
PRA - 2018 - 0071 9	Ulloa	Ana	4/12/ 2018	Pay information for all job titles at Metropolitan State Hospital		Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the

PRA - 2018 - 0072 0	DeWitt Melissa	4/12/2018	I am requesting the public records on my son's Superintendent for the Shafter Richland School District. His name is [REDACTED] and had allegations brought against him.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking Metropolitan State Hospital records, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, if you are seeking records from Shafter Richland School District, you would need to contact them directly.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0072 1	Ashton (SacBee)	4/12/2018	Please provide any investigation into the Board of Equalization conducted by the Attorney General's Office after Jan. 1, 2017.	Completed	Chris Knudsen	<p>This investigation is confidential and is currently ongoing. For those reasons and the reasons set forth below, we must respectfully deny your request:</p> <p>1. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal 4th 363.) In the present case, the Governor's Office retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation into certain allegations that arose</p>

during the Department of Finance's audit of the Board of Equalization including certain allegations of retaliation. Employment attorneys were assigned to complete the investigation and those attorneys were required to use their legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (City of Petaluma v. Superior Court (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).) A report has not yet been prepared but when a report is prepared it will constitute an attorney's work product and will be communicated in confidence to the Governor's Office, our client. Accordingly, our investigation documents and the investigation report constitutes a confidential communication pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure

Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. When the investigation report is prepared it will be the work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of witnesses reflect her approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.

3. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure

The records that you seek constitute records of an ongoing, confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])

4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure

Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c)

PRA - 2018 - 00722	Murillo	Ricardo	4/12/2018	<p>1. Manual or Printout of California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS)/Criminal History abbreviations/glossary of terms (To assist in reading a CLETS printout.</p> <p>2. Training Manual used in assisting Law Enforcement/District Attorneys in reading CLETS printouts.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p> <p>5. The Public Interest Served By Not Disclosing These Records Clearly Outweighs The Public Interest Served by Disclosure.</p> <p>Finally, the records are also being withheld under Section 6255 of the Government Code. Under the facts of this particular case, the public interest served by not disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the records. The investigation remains open, is ongoing, and the investigation report has not yet been prepared. Because the investigation has not yet been completed there is a lessened public interest in disclosure and that interest is clearly outweighed by the significant public interest in ensuring the integrity of the investigation including that investigations into workplace issues are kept confidential to encourage witnesses to speak to investigators freely.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00723	Broussard	Connie	4/12/2018	<p>1. All efforts made by the California Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) or its Attorneys, the Department of Justice, Attorney General's Office, (AGO) to determine if racial or other bias contributed to the reports or complaint made against medical providers of Color, since January 1, 2013;</p> <p>2. All information learned, gathered, studied, or referred to by the AGO related to the disproportionate number of complaints made to the DCA or AGO about doctors and other medical providers of color;</p> <p>3. The number of investigations referred to the AGO by the DCA listed by issue (what was the complaint about), profession of respondent (MD, OD, DDS, RN, PA, etc.), race of respondent (medical provider), national origin of respondent, race of investigator assigned, race of attorney assigned by AGO, result (settlement, hearing, dismissal, etc), discipline imposed (suspension, 3 months, PACE 1 week, Revocation, hearing- not-sustained, etc).</p> <p>4. All efforts made by the AGO to address the disproportionate number of complaints made about doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black providers;</p> <p>5. All efforts made by the AGO to address the disproportionate discipline imposed upon doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p> <p>6. All records related to implicit or unconscious bias training received by the attorneys in the Licensing or Health Quality Enforcement Sections of the Los Angeles Office;</p> <p>7. All statements made by any executive, board member of any board, or staff of the DCA, Licensing or HQE section of the AGO, related to the disproportionate number of complaints made about doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p>	Completed	Gloria Castro, SAAG	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to item (1), please see the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) Policies, Practices and Procedures document. In regard to item (2) please find the Record of Arrests and Prosecution Sheet Training presentation with training documents. Note, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>An extension letter was sent on April 16, 2018 by E.A. Jones III</p> <p>On May 7, 2018, We will respond to each of your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick</p>

<p>8. All statements made by any executive, board member of any board, or staff of the DCA, Licensing or HQE section of the AGO, related to the disproportionate discipline imposed upon doctors and other medical providers of color, particularly Black doctors;</p> <p>9. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the investigation of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p> <p>10. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the prosecution of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p> <p>11. All information related to how many complaints for discrimination in the discipline of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the DCA or the AGO.</p>	<p>Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) This request is unduly burdensome; consequently, we are unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Response #2:</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), specifically exempts from disclosure under the PRA any investigation materials gathered by a licensing agency - this includes complaints. Any information sought related to consumer complaints and possible investigations into those complaints are considered "confidential" materials and are exempt from disclosure. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1 993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation.</p> <p>(Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p>We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>You have also requested a privilege log detailing information regarding documents exempt from the Public Records Act. Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (200 1) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [not ing that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld.</p> <p>"What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory</p>
--	---

exemption." (Italics in original).) Moreover, providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id., 106 1, 1074-75; Gov. Code, § 6255.)					
Response #3: Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.					
The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) This request is unduly burdensome; consequently, we are unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.					
Response #4: No responsive documents are in the possession of the Attorney General's Office. Notwithstanding the foregoing, investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General.					
Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. The Attorney General's Office does not collect information, pertaining to the race or national origin of respondents, investigators, or attorneys assigned by the AGO. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)					
Consequently, we are unable to provide you with					

(Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)

In addition, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Furthermore, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the Board, or its affiliates, is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 83 1; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)

We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

Response #8:

The AGO attorneys represent various Boards of the Department of Consumer of Affairs, and its affiliates, in administrative tribunals, as well as in courts of competent jurisdiction. Accordingly, all communications between a Board and the Attorney General's Office, including the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)

We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of

					<p>other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In addition, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Furthermore, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the Board, or its affiliates, is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254 subd. (b); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833 (; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Response #9:</p> <p>Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.</p> <p>Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdi vision (f).J.)</p> <p>Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination brought against any employee of, or division of the AGO, and have listed them below.

We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

Response # 10:

Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.

Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])

Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents.

The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v.

Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination brought against any employee of, or division of the AGO, and have listed them below.

We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

Response # 11 :

Investigatory materials, and complaints made through the Public Information Unit, are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. Nor are they subject to redaction, which would not change their exempt status.

Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)

Investigative records do not lose their exempt status even if they do not lead to prosecution, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)

Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring an extensive search for such documents.

The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)

Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. We have, however, performed a thorough search for any responsive records marked clearly as alleging racial discrimination and have listed

below.

We note also that your public records request is directed to the AGO but seeks records from the Department of Consumer Affairs. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

With respect to items 1 through 11 of your request, we have made diligent inquiries with knowledgeable personnel and searched electronic records. We have attached responsive documents as noted above and as follows: a schedule of Diversity Workforce trainings presented to Supervising Deputies Attorneys General of the AGO's Health Quality Enforcement and Licensing sections; a copy of the Implicit Bias training materials provided to members of the Medical Board of California, Health Quality Investigation Unit Investigators, and Health Quality Enforcement staff in 2017, a letter dated February 17, 2016, from then Chief Deputy Attorney General Nathan R. Barankin to Rickie Ivie, Esq., regarding Dr. Anthony Jackson; employment examination announcements for Director of the Office of Community and Consumer Affairs (C.E.A.) and Department of Consumer Affairs Investigators, a position duty statement for the classification of Investigator, within the Health Quality Investigation Unit; a California Research Bureau Report, Demographics of Disciplinary Action by the Medical Board of California (2003-2013) and a list of cases, court locations and case numbers.

As to the attached list of those cases related to the investigation, prosecution, or discipline of professional licenses brought against any employee of, or division of, the AGO which allege discrimination, are non-exempt, and are in the custody or control of the Office of the Attorney General. We are providing the complaint in the listed cases. However, records related to the listed cases are voluminous. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 48 1.) Your request does not specify what particular documents related to the listed cases you are seeking. For these reasons, we must decline your request for more records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253 .1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. Jones III at (213) 269-6493.

The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public

PRA - 2018 - 00724	Smyth	Maureen	4/12/2018	All recorded information on Maureen Smyth and/or Maureen S. Watlington					<p>records requests. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Your request for records has generated 1216 pages of disclosable records. Per your request, we will send all disclosable documents as pdf attachments to the above email address. If you wish to have an electronic copy of these documents sent by First Class mail, the duplication fee for documents saved to a compact disc is \$10. The charge for postage is \$1.75. The total cost for copying and mailing in this instance is \$ 11.75.</p> <p>If you wish to have the documents sent on a compact disc via First Class mail, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 17 10-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records satisfied, and the matter closed.</p>
					Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to</p>		

PRA - 2018-00725	Stran	Daniel	4/12/2018	marriage license, marriage certificate. I don't need a certified copy. Just proof of marriage to put my spouse on my company health plan				disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018-00726	Mento (KPBS)	Tarryn	4/12/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask for digital copies of all CONSUMER COMPLAINT AGAINST A BUSINESS/CORPORATION forms on record that reference one or more of the following agencies: Alliance for African Assistance (and/or address: 5952 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92115) Alliance Health Clinic (and/or address: 5952 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92115) Global Village Home Care Global Village Language and Cultural Solutions Safari Seconds Thrift Store (and/or address: 2875 El Cajon Blvd, San Diego, CA, 92104)		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018-00727	Cummings	Deana	4/12/2018	I looked myself up on a free truth finder and it said I have \$330,000 in assets in California? But I'm not aware of any assets? Can you run me name and verify this? I'm disabled and have been fighting for my SSD. Have been living off \$197 a month so I don't have any money to pay for it. Also, can you please run my name for criminal too? I had my record expunged in 2016 but I got a weird phone call about voting as a felon?? I'm sensitive about it all because I was set up by an abusive ex-boyfriend and I already missed 19 months of life.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) "While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."]

								or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you mention a "free truth finder" you may wish to contact them regarding the records you are seeking. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 - 00746	Eiras	Frank	4/12/2018		The initiative information pertaining to the People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	Enclosed, please find a copy of the initiative related to your request, Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018." Initiative 17-0046 is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.
PRA - 2018 - 00761	Johnson	Kenneth	4/12/2018		Request for a copy of initiative entitled: Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018, and copy of the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) Fiscal Report about initiative.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	Copies are enclosed. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.
PRA - 2018 - 00767	Diaz	Luis	4/12/2018		I am respectfully requesting any and all information available on The People's Fair and Safety Sentencing Act of 2018 Initiative Proposition. Requesting to know where it stands as of this letter reaching your hands.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, you requested "any and all information available on the People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast. I've enclosed a courtesy copy of the Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records, however, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.) If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at Initiative Coordinator, Office of the Attorney General, 1300 I St., Sacramento, CA 95814. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative. This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot.

PRA - 2018-00925	James	Jesse	4/12/2018	The initiative ballot proposition text for Prop 57 at the time of original submission.		Completed	Anabella Renteria	Enclosed, are copies of the initiatives related to your request, Initiative 15-0121, "The Justice and Rehabilitation Act" and Initiative 15-0121A1, "The Public Safety and Rehabilitation Act of 2016." This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018-00733	Kennah	John	4/13/2018	Looking for the marriage dates for John P. Kennah to Amanda F. Carrier. Cant remember exact dates but were married in San Diego area in April 2007? Ended by fatal car crash, Amanda F. Kennah, in San Diego area January 2009. Pretty sure it was San Diego, I know it wasn't far from MCAS Miramar. Need them for VA records.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018-00734	Brown	Benjamin	4/13/2018	I would like the contact information (first name, last name, position title, email, phone number, length of time as head coach) for the varsity head coach of football for every public high school in the state of CA. I would like this in an excel file with separate columns for first name, last name, title, email, and phone number.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to High School football coaches, you may wish to direct your request to the school districts for this information.
PRA - 2018-00736	Madala	Srinivasa	4/13/2018	Please send all of the emails with attachments as is, (not printed and re-scanned) for the dates January 1, 2018 until March 30, 2018 pertaining to the previous request. Previously, you mentioned that "all emails" could be very large and takes time. I am limiting the time to just January 1 to March 30, 2018, and I hope it will be reasonable.		Completed	Nancy Manager	Nancy Mar responded via telephone on or before April 23, 2018.
PRA - 2018-00737	Flamm	Chelsa	4/13/2018	Case number: 2016 - C1645143 File date: 8/26/2016 2004 - CC459472 File date: 7/9/2004 2007 - CC776087 File date: 8/17/2007 party ID: 1138138502		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the

PRA - 2018 - 00794	Spencer	Brian	4/13/2018	<p>On March 22, 2018, I sent a Public Records Act (PRA) request to Officer S. Johnson of the California Highway Patrol, Auburn Division. Officer Johnson received the PRA request on March 26, 2018. It appears the California Highway patrol is practicing subterfuge, as to date, I have not received any response to my PRA request in violation of Government Code section 6253(c).</p> <p>Officer Johnson's duplicity of not responding to my lawful PRA request clearly indicates he is not interested in due process.</p> <p>Apparently, Officer Johnson is proficient at enforcing the law, just not following it. Please direct Officer Johnson as the California Highway Patrol's agent, to comply with the law and provide me the information that I requested.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks records from the California Highway Patrol. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>To the extent you are seeking to enforce the Public Records Act (Act), we must deny your request as our office does not have an enforcement role in connection with another public agency's failure to comply with the Act. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p>	<p>Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00797	Lansburgh	Richard	4/13/2018	<p>Maintenance and calibration records for Alco-sensor IV, Intoximeter Inc., serial number 018605 used by the California Highway Patrol - Merced Office from 1/1/2018 to 3/31/2018, including related results for that time period.</p> <p>In addition, maintenance and calibration records for the breath test apparatus, 10 ARBL-00557/706 on 2/23/2018. Including records for time period 1/1/2018 to 3/31/2018.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of a local or another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the California Highway Patrol. Information on how to contact their office can be found on their website at https://www.chp.ca.gov/home/contact-us.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00451	Kronenberg	Steven	4/16/2018	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any construction or maintenance of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord, within the last 15 years. 2. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the original design and "As-Built" plans for any construction or maintenance of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord. 3. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any contracts or agreements for signage, lane striping, guardrails and other markings, warnings, or barricades for the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord. 4. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to signing, striping or guardrail installation or 	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00739	Esplugas, Rochelle	4/16/2018	<p>maintenance, including but not limited to the location, placement, installation, and type of signs, striping and guardrails used on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord within the last 15 years.</p> <p>5. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to reports, studies, findings, etc. of, concerning, or relating to the design of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>6. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to engineering, traffic, or speed studies or surveys conducted regarding the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>7. Copies of the original design and "As-Built" plans for any guardrail installation on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>8. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any consideration of, or requests for, guardrail installation on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>9. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to repairs or modifications of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord on or before June 30, 2017. This includes repairs or modifications to the signage, striping, or guardrails.</p> <p>10. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any damage that occurred on or about June 30, 2017 to the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>11. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to repairs or modifications of the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord after damage that occurred on or about June 30, 2017. This includes repairs or modifications to the signage, striping, or guardrails.</p> <p>12. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to any and all incident reports for the June 30, 2017 motor vehicle incident involving the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord. This request includes but is not limited to any and all photographs and video recordings.</p> <p>13. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the motor vehicle collision that occurred on June 30, 2017 on or near the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>14. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to complaints received related to unsafe conditions on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>15. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to requests for additional traffic calming devices or measurements to be taken on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord.</p> <p>16. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to motor vehicle accidents that have occurred on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord for the past 15 years.</p> <p>17. Any and all "writings" of, concerning, or relating to the number of fatalities that have occurred on the westbound off-ramp or on-ramp of California Highway 4 at Solano Way in Concord for the past 15 years.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Highways, you may wish to contact the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans). Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if</p>
--------------------	--------------------	-----------	---	-----------	---------------	---

PRA - 2018 - 00740	Daube Avery	Colleen	4/16/2018	Heather D Simpson divorce record date with Tony O McFarland. Aguana. Ca				<p>the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105, Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
					Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00741	Brooks	Dr. Linda Brooks LMFT	4/16/2018	Can you give me copies of any adult couple love relationships with men 29 to 25 yrs ago, who a stalker and others had tape recorded without my prior consent. These were related with men. There is also an awful tape my husband made or allowed some one else to tape us making love in our own bedroom behind closed doors with the blinds shut. I think there were some pictures of us too that are terrible. Staff at work and my soon to be ex husband and others are using this in gambling without my consent, blaming me implying I sent these which I would never do. They did an illegal tax exchange with my SS#, my banking, my pension, home and children implying "I owe". They are using it to take my children, job, pension and house away. Can I ask for a records request? I think my husband and others misrepresented me online. I've been locked out of the house from DV. I tried to make a sex crimes report but they don't have officers working in that department anymore, they told me at several stations. I think groups are transferring this through energy which has been really dangerous for me. My eyes have been damaged, I've been bullied, and used in the alert system so scanners hit me as I walk by for a tax. Usually someone by satellite hits me in the head, back, or face before I try to shop any where. My bank account was changed by John. I'm trying to help my children as well. Can you send me a copy so I can give it to an atty to get legal advice? I'm also a Christian and this conflicts with my moral and values. I think they developed legislation too without my consent. I've been faithful our entire marriage.	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Our office is unable to search our records based on the information provided. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If the recording mentioned was submitted to our office as part of an investigation, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) As to the balance of your request, you appear to be reporting a crime, rather than requesting public</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0074 2	Ragsdale	Stacey	4/16/2018	Vance D Ragsdale incarceration records Prison number: 59097 Social security: [REDACTED] My father passed away and I'm trying to access his records				records. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime .
	Erin Nickless	Completed						To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. To the extent that you are seeking records from a prison, your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local jail or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html .
PRA - 2018 - 0074 3	Donohue	Will	4/16/2018	https://www.dgsapps.dgs.ca.gov/RES/D/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&cn=&ty=&pagenum=2 D/SPI-Web/wscripts/spi.asp?Action=gridpropws&cn=&ty=&pagenum=2> All the leases and properties located at the link above in an excel format An excel document containing all of the state leased locations for the State of California government				Please note that we were unable to access the link provided. Additionally, your request seeks records from the Department of General Services. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx .

PRA - 2018-00744	Robbie Andrew	4/16/2018	I am seeking any information regarding a prisoner named Archibald Cooper ROBBIE who was transferred to the Los Angeles Sheriff's department custody on October 31st, 1927. He was serving a 10-year probation sentence for robbery (Los Angeles Superior court case # 31487). According to court records he was to serve his time in County Road Camp. However on August 21st, 1928 his probation terms were modified and he was immediately released to federal authorities for deportation. Any information that you can share through open records or FOIA, would be very useful for my family history endeavors. Archibald was my grand father whom I never met. I do have copies of the court records, but was unable to attach them to this message.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. To the extent that you are seeking incarceration records, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation maintains State prison records. Since you mention that this individual was in custody at the Los Angeles Sheriff's department, you may wish to contact the Los Angeles County Jail in which he was incarcerated regarding the records that you are seeking.
PRA - 2018-00755	Moses Phil	4/16/2018	California Bureau of Automotive Repair Case No. CP 2018003180	Completed	Linda K. Schneider	We searched our records but did not find anything that matches the information you provided. However, if you would like to provide further and more specific information, we will search further.
PRA - 2018-00756	Curry Allen	4/16/2018	I am looking for all records pertaining to Shaun Etchegoyen. Last known address is [REDACTED].	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death-Fetal-Death-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to

PRA - 2018 - 00757	White	Adam	4/16/2018	Include all please				disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
					Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00758	Cruz	Rosalinda	4/16/2018	Any recent civil complaint, or reason that someone would try to attempt to serve me court documents.	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a private lawsuit, you may wish to direct your request to the court.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00759	Creary	Lisa	4/16/2018	Ventura County Superior Court regarding any civil unlimited, lawsuit between city of Oxnard, businesses, or family members versus myself, Lisa Creary. Also receive mail in the name Lisa Palazuelos. Include guardianship, conservatorship, child support, name change petitions, for Lisa Creary and my two minor children [REDACTED] Palazuelos. Case Title: In the Matter Creary, Case Category: Civil - Unlimited, Case #56-2014-00452537-CU-PT-VTA, date: 5/6/14 petition for names changes filed by my mother Eugenia Magallanes. On 2/5/15 In the Matter of Palazuelos Minors, category/type:probate/guardianship of Person Only, Case #56-2015-00463674-PR-GP-OXN. Case no. D347418, LCSA Case no. 200000001639182, petitioner Ricky Palazuelos and respondent Lisa Creary	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>

PRA - 2018 - 00821	KleczeK David	4/16/2018	<p>on 7/13/17 @ 8am in room 34. I was never served legal notice. On 6/7/17 income declaration file by Ricky Palazuelos, address on file with child support & confidential and attorney information concealed. Any other court dates regarding myself or two minors in which I should have been legally served has been denied and all legal/court mail to LisaCreary at [REDACTED] has been returned to sender back to Ventura Superior Courthouse and it is noted in computer database. I need to enroll in safe at home program to ensure that legal notices will arrive to me through the USPS mail. Any other court dates and civil matters that require my involvement have not been notified verbally over the phone, written and delivered personally or in the mail and no email correspondence has been received. I need records or CASE information because I have had reluctant assistance from any personal or employee at the EL Rio Juvenile Courthouse in Oxnard, CALIF or Superior Court of California County of Ventura. Any lawsuit attempting to sue me for any matter has yet to be disclosed. Any conservatorship/Power of Attorney petition for Lisa Creary or Palazuelos Minors has never been served to me, which is required by law.</p> <p>1. All records regarding the Gravitron or Gravitron/Starship amusement park ride; 2. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Butler Amusements, Inc.; 3. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Wisdom Industries, Ltd.; and 4. All records regarding any and all accidents, injuries, death, or property damage caused by or related to Wisdom Rides, Inc.</p> <p>On July 23, 2018, our office received a follow up from Jeff Hoffman on this PRA request, stating we did not respond.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>On July 27, 2018, our office spoke to Jeff Hoffman to confirm they had received DOJ's April 26 response. Mr. Hoffman was unsure how our office got the July 20 letter, as it had been intended for someone at CalOSH/Department of Industrial Relations.</p>	<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to private civil matters, probate matters, and/or family law matters, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court in which the case is filed.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00745	Dimas Evgenia	4/17/2018	<p>385065-01401k plan fatsa student loan 2010 sar number003985C223 DRN 3106 property release under fer code 22851 and Infoarmor My portal member 2926224 covered california act care 45cfr 1.55 etc</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a 401k, you may wish to direct your request to the 401k provider's office. Your request mentions FAFSA student loans, which is a Federal, rather than State, program. You may wish to contact the U.S. Department of Education in regard to the FAFSA information you seek. In regards to property records, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. In regard to Covered California records, you would need to contact Covered California directly.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a 401k, you may wish to direct your request to the 401k provider's office. Your request mentions FAFSA student loans, which is a Federal, rather than State, program. You may wish to contact the U.S. Department of Education in regard to the FAFSA information you seek. In regards to property records, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. In regard to Covered California records, you would need to contact Covered California directly.</p>
PRA - 2018 -	Mygas Michael	4/17/2018	Divorce record from 1980	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available</p>	

0074 7									from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018 - 0074 8	Raphael	Rachel	4/17/2018	We represent Constellation Brands, Inc. in Monica Mathias v. Constellation Brands, Inc., et al., Madera County Superior Court, Case No. MCV074777. Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code 6250 et seq.), we write to request any and all information or records from the Bureau of Firearms, regarding gun ownership or application for gun ownership of Monica L. Mathias, DOB: [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED] including but not limited to, Firearm Ownership Records, Certificates of Eligibility, New Resident Firearm Ownership Report, and Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Application records.	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio unius est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to		

PRA - 2018 - 00826	Snodgrass	Erin	4/17/2018	<p>This is a request under California's public records law for copies of consumer complaints filed against the big three credit-reporting agencies – Equifax, Experian and TransUnion since July 1, 2017-April 15, 2018.</p> <p>These records should include, but not limited to, the date of the complaint, the names of the those who complained, a description of the consumer's issue and the outcome of the complaint.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p>	<p>the individuals listed in Section 11105. In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent. Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00749	Filko	Gilbert Frank	4/18/2018	<p>UCC TRUST-GILBERT-FRANK FILKO TTEE / EIN 82-6908076 / SECURED PARTY FILKO; GILBERT FRANK N9648692 / 62-230105 / 557-57-5282 / UCC FILLING NUMBER 18-7625475752 Filed 01/03/2018 / REGISTERD PRIVATE BOND Item # GFF-090362-PRB on behalf of GILBERT FRANK FILKO TRUST-TRUSTEE-SECURED PARTY, [REDACTED] Please help with any identifying documentation</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to UCC Trusts and bonds, you may wish to direct your request to the office which regulates the trust.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to UCC Trusts and bonds, you may wish to direct your request to the office which regulates the trust.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00750	Elizarraras	Silvia	4/18/2018	<p>I am looking for any record that I lived in California between 1979 and 1982. My name is Silvia Alvarado Elizarraras. I am looking for anything from my landlord at the time, a utility company or government agency.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public</p>	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public</p>

PRA - 2018 - 0075 1	Loreg	Rebec cajane	4/18/ 2018	<p>Ms Dawn Heywood [REDACTED] Need certified grant deed Quitclaim reconeyance, acknowledge meant , all document with regards to preliminary change of ownership. these was recorded in 2015 and assessed value \$87,000; 2. Richard Dale Jackson [REDACTED] The Deeds and Title showing any and all add on Joint Tenants</p> <p>The County Recorder Register office has fraud and a forged deeds and probate case does not have last will and testament it's missing for both property fraud title should have been remove by CLTA</p> <p>2. Richard D Jackson a former Attorney and Judge of Torrance Superior Court is. He was last seen in 2013 but has a death records date 2012 this is faults his amended will in probate is also faults making his estranged ex-wife daughter the heir of his estate whom has taken over his property and it seemed he vanished. He was almost my fiancé I personally was with him in 2013. And Ms. Heywood was my dear God Mother she too vanished and death certificate date not same as hospital recorded local authority will not advice I need more info before going to the FBI. Please do all you can to help I'm being watched and threaten.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>Since you are seeking records from your land lord(s) and utilities, you would need to contact those entities for the records you are seeking.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records for specific properties are not maintained by our Department, but rather by the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks records regarding a probate matter, you may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard.</p> <p>We also note that you say you are being watched and threatened. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0075 2	Lamb	Stephe n	4/18/ 2018	<p>Please provide data on prices of firearms and firearm sales (quantities) in California, going back as far as records allow, and providing the most specific geographic locator possible (e.g., store is better than MSA is better than state). Annual data are fine, though if you have smaller delineations of time, that's even better. If you have information about gun type (even broad handgun/not handgun), that would also be appreciated. These data will be use for research at the University of Chicago Booth School of Business. If costs exceed \$25, please let me know before proceeding.</p> <p>April 25 follow up: The data look great. I do have two requests: (i) could you provide the data in Excel</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Penal Code section 11106 speaks to what information the Department of Justice retains. It does not require the retention of data on prices of firearms. However, we do have Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics for 2010 through 2017, which we have enclosed with this letter. Thank you for your inquiry.</p>

				format, and (ii) could you provide a menu describing the table fields? Most seem fairly self-explanatory, but there are a few it would be good to have clarification on (e.g., the county_code system, and the difference between CRIS and DROS).			
PRA - 2018 - 00754	Eisler	Peter	4/18/2018	I am a journalist with Reuters and I hereby request the following records on behalf of the news agency: a list of all inmates who have died in California state prisons or local/county jails from Jan. 1 2008 through Dec. 31, 2017. For each decedent, we seek the following information: -- Name and age -- Date and place of death (i.e. name of prison or jail) -- Any available data on the manner or circumstances of the death (i.e. suicide, assault, drug overdose, heart attack, etc.)	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 00760	Vanegas	Pavel	4/18/2018	I am requesting any "Request for Modification of Condition of Consent" records, including decisions and written comments related to such requests, pertaining to the California Attorney General's conditional consent to the "Proposed change in control and governance of Providence Health & Services and St. Joseph Health System," dated June 21, 2016.	Completed	Wendy A. Horwitz	In our telephone call today, you stated that you did not want any records related to the 2013 conditional consent decision concerning Hoag Memorial Hospital Presbyterian. We have conducted a diligent search of the Department of Justice's legal indexes, knowledgeable people, and logical places for documents responsive to your request. This office has no responsive records to your request.
PRA - 2018 - 00770	Lopez	Kenneth	4/18/2018	People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018 with the affected penal code changes proposed.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	Copies are enclosed.
PRA - 2018 - 00771	Crandle	Elliot	4/18/2018	Flyers concerning the Amends Three Strikes Sentencing Law for Repeat Offenders, Initiative Statute.	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	The Department of Justice has no flyers responsive to your request. However, the department can provide you with a courtesy copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018" for your records. A copy is enclosed.
PRA - 2018 - 00804	Gallardo	Yanely	4/18/2018	domestic violence Aggressor : Pedro Mayo Poblete victim: Yanely Gallardo Luna around the month of may 2006 I was sent to the hospital because my husband Pedro Mayo would hit me and I had three months of pregnancy and was about to lose my baby. incident occurred in Pasadena California I don't have the case number or any other information about the case. Can I request an appointment to receive my records.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking police report records, which are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. If you are seeking information regarding victim services, you would need to contact our Victims' Services unit. More information about Marsy's Law for victims can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices/content/bill_of_rights .
PRA - 2018 - 00763	Lancaster	Emily	4/19/2018	I would like to request the current title and salary for Phillip Gerome Crader: Department WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD The state worker database only provides outdated information, from 2016. I am looking for current salary, compensation package, and title, please.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.

							Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Water Board, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resource/s/public_records_requests.html .
PRA - 2018 - 00764	Lalchand ani	Priti	4/19/2018	I am a General Surgery Resident at Harbor-UCLA in Torrance, CA. I am doing a research project on firearm violence in Los Angeles County/California. I previously contacted the DOJ for some data and statistics. Mr. Robert Wilson, Deputy Attorney General, sent me a letter and stated he would provide me with the Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics from 2010-2017. However, I haven't yet received that data report. I was referred to this email by the Firearms Bureau to obtain this information. Would it be possible for you to send me this data? I am also interested in another data sets you may have as I am in the beginning stages of formulating my research project and looking for publically published data. Is there someone I can talk to over the phone who may be able to assist me in requesting other data sets that will fit my needs?	Completed	Robert Wilson	We are resending this email with the response letter and DROS documents that we sent to you on March 26th. We received your second request indicating that you have not received them. Please find attached the statistics we referenced.
PRA - 2018 - 00765	Maciel	James	4/19/2018	1. Any and all documents and communications regarding the Department of Justice, Attorney General, or any other Department of Justice personnel communications with California cities that have expressed an opposition to A3 450, SB 54, AB 103, and any and all laws or language referring to sanctuary state law from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018. 2. Any and all documents and responses from the Department of Justice, Attorney General, or any other Department of Justice personnel to any and all California cities that have expressed an opposition to AB 450, SB54, AB 103, and any and all laws or language referring to sanctuary state law from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018. May 2, 2018 follow-up: This is a follow up email to the voicemail I just left you. I am in receipt of your letter dated April 26, 2018 regarding narrowing your search to just the cities listed in your letter, which we discussed via telephone. I wanted to make sure you didn't need anything further from me to begin your search. I also want to express that I will reserve my right to address the issue of "any other" cities at a later point if needed but appreciate your search for the current cities listed.	Completed	Catherine Z. Ysrael	During our conversation, you clarified that the reference to the Judicial Council and Rule 10.500 of the California Rules of Court was in error, and that the request is directed to the California Department of Justice, Office of the California Attorney General. This further confirms that you clarified the scope of this request, and are interpreting requests 1 and 2 to mean documents reflecting communications between the Office of the Attorney General and California cities regarding opposition by those cities to AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103, for the time period from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018. We are interpreting your request to include only communications between the Office of the Attorney General and California cities regarding opposition by those cities to AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103, for the time period from October 1, 2017 to April 18, 2018. During our conversation, you provided the following list of cities that you contend have opposed AB 450, SB 54, and AB 103: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• San Juan Capistrano• Yorba Linda• Westminster• Aliso Viejo• Fountain Valley• Huntington Beach• Los Alamitos• Mission Viejo• Newport Beach• Orange• Barstow• Hesperia• Escondido• San Dimas• Beaumont• Ripon• San Marcos• West Covina• Loma Linda

PRA - 2018 - 00766	Oliver Sofia	4/19/2018	Would like copy of Marriage/Divorce record on Jeffrey Edward Furlong, DOB [REDACTED] . Citrus Heights, CA and/or Sacramento, CA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>• Redlands</p> <p>• Yucaipa</p> <p>You stated that this list is not intended to be an exclusive list of cities, and reiterated that you seek communications with any California cities that have opposed these three bills, even if they are not on the list you provided. Our office does not have a comprehensive list of which cities may have opposed these bills. Furthermore, we do not organize our files in a manner that would allow us to easily identify if we have communications with any California city that may have opposed these bills.</p> <p>Moreover, the Public Records Act does not impose an obligation on our office to research whether any cities (or even the cities listed above) may be opposed to any of these three laws. Without some way of identifying the cities, your request would require that we search for and review communications from every California city to determine if the specific communication conveys opposition to these bills, which again is not required by the Public Records Act.</p> <p>We decline to conduct such a broad search.</p> <p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>Accordingly, for purposes of this Public Records Act request, we are limiting our review to communications between our office and the cities listed above, regarding their opposition to these bills. That being said, if there are additional cities you would like to add to this list, please let us know, and we will expand our search to include communications between our office and those cities as well, regarding their opposition to these bills. Once we have received confirmation of the scope of your request (e.g., the cities from which you would like us to search for communications), we will process your request and initiate our search.</p> <p>On May 3, 2018, Catherine Ysrael sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On May 16, 2018, Catherine Ysrael responded: We have consulted knowledgeable persons and identified logical places for our search for responsive records. Having conducted a thorough review of our files and indexes, we located the document attached in response to your request.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the</p>
--------------------	--------------	-----------	--	-----------	---------------	---

PRA - 2018 - 00768	Ciolino	Barbara	4/19/2018	<p>1. All documents, electronic or otherwise, comprising prior drafts of the press release "Attorney General Kamala D. Harris Files Lawsuit Against Pong Marketing & Promotions Inc. Over Illegal Gambling Devices," dated May 20, 2016.</p> <p>2. All documents, electronic or otherwise, identifying the source of funding for the "Sweepstakes Gambling Task Force" as that term is used in the Department of Justice Press Release dated May 20, 2016.</p>	Completed	Jennifer T. Henderson	<p>Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p> <p>With respect to item 1 of your request, Government Code section 6255 exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) Additionally, drafts of the press release that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 371-372.) Such drafts are also attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Accordingly, we are providing a copy of the final press release, but no drafts of it.</p> <p>With respect to item 2 of your request, there are no documents identifying a funding source specific to the "Sweepstakes Gambling Task Force," relative to employees of the Attorney General Office participating in the task force. These employees are funded in the same manner as other Deputies Attorney General in Indian and Gaming Law Section of the Public Rights Division. To the extent that an outside source of funding for the task force may be identified in documents in case files maintained by this office, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the</p>
--------------------	---------	---------	-----------	--	-----------	-----------------------	---

PRA - 2018-00769	Caamal	Miguel	4/19/2018	REAP Escrow Accounting - HCID Case No.: 533616 APN NO.: 5542018013 Property Address: 1263 & 1265 Lyman Place, Los Angeles, California 90029 Escrow Account Closed 03/16/18 As new owners of property we never received the accounting of escrow account showing amounts collected and charges to account - Final accounting.				Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale, supra, 5 Cal.4th at pp. 371-372.) Such documents are also attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from its disclosure requirement. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Accordingly, we are providing a copy of settlement documents filed in another case that identifies a source of funding to the task force, but not all other documents referencing that source of funding as they are protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or the work product privilege. Deputy Attorney General Jennifer T. Henderson and Senior Assistant Attorney General Sara J. Drake are responsible for this response.				
PRA - 2018-00774	Peacock	Michael	4/19/2018	New request that relates to 04-09-18 request: Thank you very much for a rather quick turnaround. Honestly I doubted that I would even get a response. One last favor to ask: can you please confirm that there are no communications between anyone at the AG's office and Monte Stuart (who was originally identified as Dr. Kumar's California counsel) that have not already been produced?		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information about an escrow account, you may wish to contact the financial institution which managed the account about which you are inquiring.				
PRA - 2018-00775	Clozel	Lalita	4/19/2018	I am seeking a copy of the following records that are connected to the People v. Ferrer, Larkin and Lacey case (16FE024013) - unsealed search warrant affidavits - declarations - subpoenas - any attachments that come with the documents listed above On April 30, 2018, the requester followed up: I just received this back from your agency's PRA office. How is it possible that they could find none of the documents I am seeking? If you could give me some guidance on the proper way to obtain them, that would be great. Those should be publicly available documents, and I've been trying to obtain a copy for months On May 2, 2018, Ms. Clozel responded: Thanks very much for the update. Look forward to your follow-up next week. On May 2, 2018, Ms. Clozel responded: I didn't receive them, unfortunately. It looks like they were too big to send via email. Could you possibly try to send them via Google Drive? On May 4, 2018, Ms. Clozel followed up: Could you possibly try to send the files one at a time? Maybe that might overcome the size restrictions.		Completed	Robert Morgester, SAAG	On April 30, 2018, the public records coordinator responded: We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. Depending on the scope of the case, this may have been handled by a District Attorney's office rather than the Department of Justice. On May 2, 2018, Robert Morgester responded with an extension letter and attempted to send several attachments, which did not go through. On May 2, 2018, Robert Morgester followed up: Did you also receive the six attached pdf documents? I ask because I received the following				

message:

--- The following addresses had delivery problems ---

<lalita.clozel@wsj.com> (5.2.3 Your message exceeded Google's message size limits. Please visit 5.2.3

<https://support.google.com/mail/?p=MaxSizeError> to view our size 5.2.3 guidelines. n29-v6si3953895pgd.345 - gsmtip)

On May 7, 2018, Robert re-sent the attachments: This e-mail is in response to your correspondence dated April 19, 2018, which was received in the Attorney General's Office on April 19, 2018, in which you sought various records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq.

Specifically, you requested:

I am seeking a copy of the following records that are connected to the People v. Ferrer, Larkin and Lacey case (16FE024013)

- unsealed search warrant affidavits
- declarations

- subpoenas

- any attachments that come with the documents listed above

I will be sending six separate PDFs as part of a partial production labeled; Exhibit 15 Part 1-5 and Exhibit 16 that is responsive to your request. Each email with attachment will be labeled a consecutive number so we can track receipt.

In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)

For the reasons set forth below, this office is extending the date for responding to your request to May 12, 2018. Agencies are permitted to extend the date for responding to a public records request for fourteen days beyond the original 10-day deadline for responding under specified circumstances. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) As your request was received by this office on April 19, 2018, the time established for the original response is April 29, 2018. Fourteen days beyond this date is May 12, 2018.

Agencies may invoke the extension for several reasons, which may be summarized as follows:

1. The need to search for and collect records from field offices or other facilities that are separate from the office processing the request.
2. The need to search for, collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request.
3. The need for consultation, which shall be conducted with all practicable speed, with another

								agency having a substantial interest in the determination of the request or among two or more components of the agency having substantial subject matter interest therein. (§ 6253, subd. (c).) In this instance, an extension is needed to collect, and appropriately examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records which are demanded in a single request. We are hopeful that the complete production will be finished by next week. On May 8, 2018, Michelle Williams produced additional documents: Continuing the production of documents regarding your Public Records Act request, please expect 17 individual emails containing the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Exhibits 1• Exhibits 2• Exhibits 3• Exhibits 4• Exhibits 5• Exhibits 6• Exhibits 7• Exhibits 8 (Part 1 and 2)• Exhibit 9• Exhibit 10 (Parts 1-3)• Exhibits 11• Exhibits 12• Exhibits 13• Exhibits 14 Please confirm once you have received all of the documents.
PRA - 2018 - 00776	Ta	Stanley	4/19/2018	I would like a copy of all of my public records for my reference.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents	

PRA - 2018 - 0084 4	Khundkar (APPS)	Imran	4/19/2018	<p>1. Any and all WRITINGS and communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") and its staff, inspectors, employees or agents from January 1, 2013 to the present regarding California driver's licenses or identification cards, REAL ID Act Licenses, or identification cards, and non-REAL ID Act licenses or identification cards.</p> <p>2. Any and all WRITINGS and communications between the Office of the Governor of the State of California and its staff, inspectors, employees or agents from January 1, 2013 to the present regarding California driver's licenses or identification cards, REAL ID Act Licenses, or identification cards, and non-REAL ID Act licenses or identification cards.</p>	Michelle & Associates	Completed	Patty Li	<p>sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>As currently framed, this request does not meet the California Public Records Act requirement that requests to an agency be reasonably focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your request seeks all "WRITINGS and communications" regarding any kind of California driver's license or identification card, for a period dating back more than five years. In addition, the request appears to request a search of all Department employees' records, as well as the Department's "agents," without definition or limitation. Because the Department employs over 4,500 people, and does not maintain, index, or categorize records according to whether they concern driver's licenses or identification cards, a response to your request would require a review of every document in the Department's possession for a period of more than five years. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmaejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>You should also be aware that, because this is a large public law office, some or perhaps most of the records you seek would be subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work product protection and therefore exempt from disclosure. (Civ. Proc. Code, § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 372; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>In addition, to the extent you seek materials from investigatory files, those files are exempt. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigatory files remain exempt even after an investigation has been closed. (Williams, supra, 5 Cal.4th at p. 355.)</p> <p>The Department is not obligated to (and will not) respond to your request pursuant to Rule 10.500(e) of the California Rules of Court, which applies only to judicial branch entities, and has no application to the Department. (See Gov. Code, §</p>
---------------------	-----------------	-------	-----------	--	-----------------------	-----------	----------	--

PRA - 2018 - 00777	Combs	Brandon	4/20/2018	<p>Please provide me with a copy of all records and information in existence that would allow me and the public to know and/or determine the number of Certificate of Eligibility (COE) certificates, of all subtypes, issued by the Department: (1) within the 2017 Calendar year, (2) within the past twelve months, or (3) that are active as of the most recent date for which the Department holds records that contain this value or from which the value could be determined.</p> <p>This request does not seek copies of COE certificates unless such copies would be the only way to determine the number of certificates issued by the Department (1) within the 2017 Calendar year, (2) within the past twelve months, or (3) that are active as of the most recent date for which the Department holds records.</p> <p>The term "Certificate of Eligibility" means a certificate which states that the DOJ has checked its records and determined that the applicant is not prohibited from acquiring or possessing firearms pursuant to Penal Code sections 29800, 29805, 29815 through 29825, and 29900, and Welfare and Institutions Code sections 8100 and 8103, or Title 27, Part 478.32 of the Code of Federal Regulations at the time the check was performed. (11 C.C.R. § 4103(g).) See also Cal. Penal Code section 26710 (http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=PEN&sectionNum=26710) and Cal. DOJ Form BOF-4008 (https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/firearms/forms/coeapp.pdf).</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>On April 30, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On May 7, 2018, BOF responded: There are 16,017 active Certificates of Eligibility (COE). Here is a list of the different COE subtypes: ammunition agent/employee, collector, explosive permit, gunsmith, other, shooting range, ammunition vendor, consultant/evaluator, firearms dealer, importer, pawnbroker, store manager, certified instructor, employee, gun show producer, manufacturer, prop master, and wholesaler/distributor.</p>	68106.2, subd. (g) [requiring "adoption of rules of court that provide public access to non deliberative and nonadjudicative court records, budget and management information"], italics added.) For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consider any clarifying information you can provide that would better help us identify the records you seek. (Gov. Code, § 6253 .1.)
PRA - 2018 - 00778	Mata	Gilbert	4/20/2018	<p>Student Loans in Collections. My Attestations/Applications for Loan Discharges, All Notices Of Discharged And Closed Student Loans I Would Love To Know Why You Took My Tax Return That My Family Desperately Needed Saying For Repayment Of Loans When I Have Already Filled This Application For Borrowers Defense To Repayment Loan Discharge Twice And Also Received A Notice Saying My Loans Were Discharged And On My Credit Report It Says They Were All Closed!! How Is This Possibly Legal to Get A Notice Saying My Loans Were Discharged And That No Accounts Are In Collection, But Still Take My Money?? Like Hide The Fact Your Stealing My Hard Earned Money??</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For records regarding student loans, you would need to contact the financial institution which issued the loan. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for Federal returns or the State of California Franchise Tax Board for State returns. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=-..</p> <p>In the event that your request is intended as a petition for legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney or tax professional to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p>	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For records regarding student loans, you would need to contact the financial institution which issued the loan. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on tax records, we suggest that you contact the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for Federal returns or the State of California Franchise Tax Board for State returns. Information on how to request records from the Franchise Tax Board can be found on their website at https://www.ftb.ca.gov/aboutFTB/Public_Records_Act/index.shtml?WT.mc_id=-..</p> <p>In the event that your request is intended as a petition for legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney or tax professional to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00779	Volker	Jamey	4/20/2018	<p>All initial petitions filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2017 in legal actions brought under the California Environmental Quality Act (pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21167), which petitions must be provided to the Attorney General under Public Resources Code section 21167.7 and Code of Civil Procedure section 388.</p>	Completed	Scott DeMedeiros	<p>Enclosed, please find copies of all documents responsive to your request formatted onto CD-ROM disc. Pursuant to our phone conversation of April 20, we have provided you with copies of all CEQA petitions that were currently available electronically within the date range requested</p>	

PRA - 2018 - 00780	Harper Scott	4/20/2018	<p>Please send us any records from the state of California for: TODD DAVEY DOB [REDACTED] SSN: [REDACTED] I have been retained to represent him on a current DUI case and I need to know his prior record in order to properly disclose that information on an ARD Application. Please forward these records to me via email or regular mail as soon as possible. The above contact information is for my office.</p>	Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	<p>above. Additionally, we have provided you with an electronic copy of a list of all documents that were responsive to your request based on the contents of the Environment Section's tracking database.</p> <p>On April 23, 2018, CJIS staff spoke with requester regarding the Request of Record packet needed to obtain these records. This completes this PRA request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00781	Blutstein Allan	4/20/2018	<p>All communications between the head/director of the Bureau of Gambling Control and State Senator Ricardo Lara or his chief of staff Erika Contreras Chief of Staff ("Erika.Contreras@sen.ca.gov<mailto:Erika.Contreras@sen.ca.gov>" from April 1, 2017, to the present.</p>	Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19800, et seq.), the records of the Department maintained under the Gambling Control Act are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c); see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. All of the departmental records that you have requested are maintained by the Department under the Gambling Control Act, and accordingly, are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>In addition to the above, to the extent that your request encompasses records of the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, in advising the Bureau of Gambling Control and Stephanie Shimazu, as its Director, such documents are privileged under Evidence Code section 954 and exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k).</p> <p>Accordingly, we are denying your request.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00782	Peterson (Cache Creek Conservancy) Sherry	4/20/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Cache Creek Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.</p>	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Records filed with our office by Cache Creek Conservancy are available on our website. Please go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search</p> <p>Type in the name Cache Creek Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name</p> <p>Click Search, and then click on charity's name</p> <p>Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00783	Peterson (Golden) Sherry	4/20/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Golden State Land Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the</p>	Completed	Tania M.	<p>We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records</p>

2018 - 0078 3	State Land Conservancy)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Center for Natural Land Management. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.			Ibanez, SAAG	from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records filed with our office by Golden State Land Conservancy are available on our website. Please go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the name Golden State Land Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name Click Search, and then click on charity's name Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 4	Peterson (Center for Natural Land Management)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Center for Natural Land Management. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.	Completed	Erin Nickless		We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Records filed with our office by Center for Natural Lands Management are available on our website. Please go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search Type in the name Center for Natural Lands Management in the panel for Organization Name Click Search, and then click on charity's name Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 5	Peterson (Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG		We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the Riverside-Corona Resource Conservation District. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. The Riverside-Corona Resource District is an independent agency, and it is not required to file financial reports with the State Attorney General's Office. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 6	Peterson (San Diego Habitat Conservancy)	Sherry	4/20/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records for San Diego Habitat Conservancy. Specifically, I am requesting 1. the financial records and 2. the financial statements, that have been submitted to the California Attorney General's office and/or the California State Auditor's office, including, but not limited to, employee salaries.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG		We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments such as the State Auditor's Office. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.

PRA - 2018 - 0078 7	Mountain	Ronald	4/20/2018	I am looking for any public record information that I can get. I am concerned that there may be erroneous information about family and criminal activity for myself.				Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>Records filed with our office by San Diego Habitat Conservancy are available on our website. Please go to oag.ca.gov/charities Under Resources and Tools, click on Registry Verification Search</p> <p>Type in the name San Diego Habitat Conservancy in the panel for Organization Name</p> <p>Click Search, and then click on charity's name</p> <p>Scroll down and you will see all of the records that have been filed with this office.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death-Fetal-Death-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018	Nybo	Elisabeth	4/20/2018	I am requesting by year for 1979 through 1984 Part 1 Crimes in Santa Barbara County by agency for the entire county as a whole, and the Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Department and the City of Carpinteria individually. The data on the Open Justice website is only available as far back as 1985.				Jaimie Tackett	Completed	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the</p>

- 0078 8						custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA - 2018 - 0078 9	Martinez	Felipe	4/23/ 2018	Unlawful detainer	Completed	Erin Nickless You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking unlawful detainer records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA - 2018 - 0079 0	Amarel (Muckrock)	Taylor	4/23/ 2018	I would like to obtain all emails sent to, from, or copied to Xavier Becerra, from January 1, 2016 to Present Day containing the non-case-sensitive key-string "EB-5" or "USCIS".	Completed	Nancy Doig Enclosed are the records responsive to your request. Please note that Xavier Becerra became the California Attorney General on January 24, 2017. Because of this, the only emails his Department of Justice email account would have that would be responsive to this request were sent or received on or after January 24, 2017. Supplemental response dated May 18, 2018: On May 3, 2018, we responded to your request and provided you with responsive records. Since sending that response, we have identified additional responsive records. Some, but not all, of these additional records are enclosed with this letter. Those records that are not being produced are covered by the deliberative process privilege which exempts them from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (See Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325 [documents subject to deliberative process privilege properly withheld under Gov. Code, § 6255].) The records we are withholding reveal deliberative processes, and they are therefore protected from disclosure. (Ibid.) This completes our response to your April 21, 2018 request.
PRA - 2018	Mott (SNR)	Michael	4/23/ 2018	A digital spreadsheet list of cases investigated by the CA DOJ's Bureau of Investigation Sacramento Regional Office Recycling Fraud Team from Jan. 1, 2016- Dec. 31, 2018, including the investigative number, the cost of those investigations, people who were prosecuted, fines that were awarded and	Completed	Shannon To the extent you are seeking information from our investigation files, they are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f)

- 0079 1			money actually collected for each. Please order the spreadsheet with a row for each investigation, if possible.			<p>expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]) Notwithstanding the investigatory file exemption, we also have information regarding matters for which the DOJ has brought charges against specific individuals who were the subjects of DOJ investigations. Enclosed is a spreadsheet with information about the criminal matters that resulted from the investigations conducted by the Sacramento team within the time period identified in your request. We are interpreting the portion of your request referencing the "money actually collected" as the restitution amount that has been collected and paid to Cal Recycle. There are several matters listed on the enclosed spreadsheet for which criminal proceedings are still pending. For example, one investigation has resulted in indictments of five individuals (see enclosed press release), but the criminal trial has not yet begun. Although the total amount of restitution collected thus far from these investigations is \$7,150, we anticipate this figure to increase significantly as the criminal proceedings are completed. Lastly, the annual budget of the Sacramento team is \$1,998,121.</p>	Patterson
PRA - 2018 - 0079 2	Morrison	Jasmy n	4/23/ 2018	Jasmyn Morrison 516682 A,B,C ALL RECORDS I would like to know if I'm able to appeal anything having to do with CWS if I never received notice.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records with the information provided as it lacks information needed to identify the records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. However, our research into the matter shows that this might be related to a matter handled by a different state Department. If the term "CWS" refers to a Child Welfare Services program, you may wish to contact the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You may be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 0079 3	Goodwin	Loraine	4/23/ 2018	Complaint of Madera County District Attorney David Linn v. Madera County Supervisors, et al. alleging election violations	Completed	Erin Nickless	

PRA - 2018 - 00795	Raza	Muhamad Musa	4/23/2018	I would Like to know how much the CalGang programs costs the city of Los Angeles, State of California and Los Angeles County. I would also like to know what the spending for the CalGang programs falls under (which category, public safety or something else). Lastly, I would like to know the answers to the questions above in the recent timeframe (after 2014). I was trying my best to find the answers to the questions above online, but wasn't able to. I contacted the number provided, and the person on the line directed me to make a request (she wasn't sure if that would work or not).	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records for a case between the Madera County District Attorney and the Madera County Supervisors, you may wish to contact one of their offices, or the Madera County Superior Court.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, in this case, the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County, you should direct your request for records to those agencies.</p> <p>The DOJ only maintains the CalGang database system, therefore can only provide maintenance costs. Furthermore, the DOJ does not categorize the purchases, therefore cannot respond to the spending category. For the years requested, the costs are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fiscal Year (FY) 13/14 - \$ 190,304 • FY 14/15 - \$269,559 • FY 15/16 - \$282,924 • FY 16/17 - \$275,208 <p>Note, in the FY 17 /18 budget, \$3 .2 million was appropriated to the DOJ for "system enhancements and automation of the Cal Gang database" as legislation made DOJ responsible for the oversight of Cal Gang effective January 1, 2018. For more information, you can visit the Cal Gang page on the California Attorney General 's website here: https://oag.ca.gov/calgang.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00796	Pierce	Seavon	4/23/2018	<p>1) Request Is Made For Pages 1656-1658, Of The Transcriptions "Blocked", Stated Under A Penalty Of Perjury, Illegal Acts To Seal The Record, Conceal Evidence Of Wrong Doing As Acts Of Favor, To Prevent Liability, As Continuing Violations.</p> <p>Report Is Here Made Of These Acts, The Falsification Of Public Records, Concealment Of Evidence Of Wrongdoing As Acts Of Favor. A Violation Of The Rights To The Public Record Is Here Reported As A Violation With-I All Affected Parties As Defined By, 18 Use 4, 241, 242, 1001, 2071, Acts Of Fraud And Corruption Of Case No SWF002212, 5:09-00310-VAP-JEM.</p> <p>2) The Attached Public Officials Have Concealed Evidence, Continuing Violations:</p> <p>(a) Upon Direct Knowledge Of An Agreement To Conceal Evidence, Not Say Anything Of The Full Evidence Received Out Of The Defendants Presence Recorded On 12-16-05, Or Otherwise, Acts By The Riverside Court And Riverside District Attorneys Office, Et. Al..</p> <p>(b) Concealment Of Out Of Court Communications By The District Attorney(S) Office 'Id Alter Trial Proceedings However Defined.</p> <p>(c) Concealment Of Admissions Under Frp 36, Of Admissions Of Innocence, Fraud, Further Evidence Received From Judge Harold W. Hopp After .A Order Of A Evidentiary Hearing Which Allows Both Sides To Present Evidence, Evidence Received As A Expanded Record Not Denied As Facts Or Evidence, Evidence Of The Violation Of The Federal Privacy Act, Of The Medical Data Obtained By The Judge Off The Record, Evidence Which Still Exist In Case No. 5:09-00310-Vap-Jem, As Being Concealed By These Public Officials In Violation Of The Duty To Report Misconduct/Illegal Acts.</p> <p>(d) Concealment Of The 11 Clear And Convincing Evidence " Found By The Court Under The Statutory Requirements That Clear And Convincing Evidence Be Found To Order A Evidentiary Hearing In Which Counsel Was Provided. See Documents 50-60.</p> <p>(3) This Complaint Is Filed As Continuing Violations, Acts Which Violate The Rights Of The Public Id .The Public Record, Illegal Acts Defined As Improper Influence, Political Influence, Acts Of Fraud, Intentional Acts Of Concealment Of Violations, Misconduct, Wrong Doings Committed By Public</p>	Completed	Cynthia Lumley	<p>Your requests for pages 1656-1658 of the transcript have been addressed, both by our office and the Court. There are no pages responsive to your request.</p> <p>Regarding the rest of your request, unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Your requests are generally vague and overbroad. For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)</p> <p>Additionally, we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its</p>

			Officials In Office As Defined By Public Corruption, Acts Of Favor, Acts Which Prevent Liability Of Violations Of The Law.				own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA - 2018 - 00798	Teacher	Maria	4/23/2018	My name is Maria and I am a teacher in the state of California. I was wondering if you could help me or direct me. My classroom was inspected for size and any hazards. My district has decided to shutdown my classroom and reassign me. I have asked the district for a copy and they have not given it to me. I would like a copy of the report.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via voice mail that these records are not DOJ records and referred her to the school district.
PRA - 2018 - 00799	Golez	Joahna Claire	4/23/2018	This happened sometime during the 1990s, somewhere between 1993-1999. My mother, Cecilia Golez, filed a domestic abuse case against my father, John Golez. I need this file, as requested from my mother. My mother is now filing a divorce from my father and will need this file.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was managed by a local district attorney's office, you would need to direct your request to them. You may also be able to request a case file from the Superior Court in which the case was handled. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 - 00802	Ligon	Cheyenne	4/23/2018	April 23, 2018 request: (1) Any and all documents, correspondence, notes, electronic notices, memoranda, investigator notes, and/or reports that have come into the Office of the Attorney General's possession on or after September 1, 2016 or that have been generated by the Office of the Attorney General on or after September 1, 2016, that in any way refer, reflect or relate to any security breach notifications provided pursuant to MCL 15.243 including notifications to affected persons and to designated state agencies, logs of notifications, and related records. April 24, 2018 amended request: (1) Any and all documents, correspondence, notes, electronic notices, memoranda, investigator notes, and/or reports that have come into the Office of the Attorney General's possession on or after September 1, 2016 or that have been generated by the Office of the Attorney General on or after September 1, 2016, that in any way refer, reflect or relate to any security breach notifications provided pursuant to California Public Records Act 2004, Government Code §§ 6250-6276.48 including notifications to affected persons and to designated state agencies, logs of notifications, and related records.	Completed	Amos Hartston	Our office does not have any responsive records. We do not receive security breach notifications pursuant to MCL 15.243 or the Public Records Act. You may find it helpful, however, that our office publishes data security breach notices we receive pursuant to Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and 1798.82(f) on our website. https://oag.ca.gov/ecrime/databreach/list .
PRA - 2018 - 00805	Keeves	Christine	4/23/2018	I am looking for any records regarding Michael K Winner of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I am searching for records to try to safeguard myself and community from escalating harassment, intimidation, and bullying which recently resulted in vandalism of my car while legally parked on a public street in front of Winner's home. I've documented years of altercations with neighbors who are legally parked in front of his home on a busy street, as well as several neighbors reporting being fearful of this man's temper. In the most recent incident, a witness saw him angrily "slapping" my car and leaving a	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public

PRA - 2018-00807	Stuart Wayne	4/23/2018	<p>threatening note... shortly before 4 large dents appeared on the passenger side of my car, lining up exactly with Winner's trashcans on the curb. This occurred after another incident, where I legally parked in front of his home and he ran out of his house screaming at me, banging on my car, and blocking me from driving away.</p> <p>I have filed a police report regarding the vandalism and I am pulling together information to make a Public Nuisance claim, as defined by California law. In the meantime, I discovered this public record (https://www.nsf.gov/oig/case-closeout/106090026.pdf) naming Michael K. Winner as a felon and likely sex offender. As things escalate in my neighborhood, I'd like to know if he's been convicted of any other crimes, both to build my case as well as keep myself and neighbors informed of potential danger.</p>	Completed	Philip J. Lindsay	<p>records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
			<p>1. "A copy of the 2018 request for an Informal Response issued by the California Supreme Court in In re David Irby, Trenton Capell, Leonard King, Jeffrey Williams, Rodney Barno, Petitioners, on habeas corpus. (Case no. unavailable)."</p> <p>2. "A copy of the opening and Respondent[]"s briefs in People v. Thomas (1999) 21 Cal.4th 1122."</p> <p>3. "A memorandum authored by an attorney employed by the Department of Justice explaining why Third Strikers are eligible for Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p> <p>4. "A memorandum authored by any attorney employed by the Department of Justice explaining why Third Strikers are not eligible for Proposition 57 early parole consideration."</p>			<p>As to your first request, there are no documents responsive to this request. The Supreme Court did not request an informal response from the respondents in In re Irby (King, Capell, Williams, Barno), case number S246798. Petitioners filed their petition on January 26, 2018, and the Supreme Court summarily denied it on April 11, 2018, without requesting a response from respondents.</p> <p>As to your second request, I have ordered the case file in People v. Thomas that resulted in the opinion you cite in order to locate the opening and respondent's briefs. Because of the file's age, my office is currently attempting to locate it in among our archived case files. It may take an additional two weeks to locate and have the file forwarded to me. Once I receive the file, I will notify you by letter regarding the cost of reproducing the opening and respondent's briefs.</p> <p>The Office of the Attorney General charges a copy fee of \$0.10 per page in accordance with the CPRA. The CPRA contains no exceptions to the copy fee for indigent inmates.</p> <p>As to your third and fourth requests seeking memorandums explaining why Third Strikers are or are not eligible for Proposition 57 parole consideration, such documents (other than legal briefs filed with the courts) are prepared by DOJ attorneys in the scope of their representation of client agencies and contain attorney work product or legal advice provided to our client agencies. All such documents are privileged and specifically exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363 [attorney-client privilege]; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819 [attorney</p>

												work-product privilege[.] If by "memorandums" you seek legal briefs filed with the courts prepared by DOJ attorneys regarding the eligibility of Third Strikers for Proposition 57 parole consideration, those documents do exist, and I can forward you a copy of the briefs submitted in those cases. Our office recently submitted briefing on this issue to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, in In re E bert Vaught, case number G054657. Respondent's briefing consists of the following: • Informal Response filed June 29, 2017 (32 pages) • Return to OSC filed September 11, 2017 (20 pages, not including exhibits (an additional 155 pages)) • Court Ordered Supplemental Letter Brief filed March 9, 2018 (8 pages, not including exhibits (an additional 65 pages)) If you would like a copy of any of the documents from the Vaught case, please send me a request in writing indicating which documents along with a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$0.10 per page for all documents requested. June 14, 2018 follow up: Your requests for records in People v. Thomas has generated 78 pages of discloseable records resulting in a duplication fee of \$7.80. This includes 48 pages of Appellant's Opening Brief and 30 pages of Respondent's Brief. Please make the check payable to the California Department of Justice and send your check to: California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 Upon receipt of your check in the above-stated amount, we will promptly forward to you the disclosable records responsive to your request. If we do not receive your payment within 20 days of this letter, we will consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.
PRA - 2018 - 00860	Frenzen	Amy	4/23/2018					I am writing to request confirmation that Attorney General Becerra does not currently sit on any appointed boards or commissions in the State of California. If he does, please let me know what they are. Thank you so much for your time.	Completed	Sharon O'Grady	Your request seeks information, rather than identifiable public records. (See Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.) We also note that use of the phrase "appointed boards or commissions in the State of California" renders the request vague and ambiguous. For these reasons, we must decline your request as it is currently cast. If you wish to consult with us to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records (Gov. Code, § 6253.1), please contact me at the address above, or by telephone at 415-510-3834.	
PRA - 2018 - 00867	Franklin	Scott	4/23/2018					a) Any guidebook, handbook, memo, or similar instructional material explaining how California Department of Justice ("Department") employees are to distinguish records they create concerning: (1) law enforcement activities targeting people on the Armed Prohibited Person System ("APPS") List versus (2) law enforcement activities targeting people who were not identified via the APPS List but who are nonetheless investigated by the Department because they are believed to be in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so; the forgoing request is limited to records created or	Completed	Michelle & Associates	July 9, 2018 request: The Department has enclosed a memo in response to your first request. For your second request, the Department's time card system cannot currently decipher between case types. As such, the Department has no records responsive to that request. For your third	

PRA - 2018 - 00873	Pintha	Richard	4/23/2018	<p>amended (or both) after August 9, 2017;</p> <p>b) All timeslips, time cards, reports, or similar documents created by Department employees that specifically indicate (whether directly or by use of a code or identifier) the employee participated in a non-APPS List-based investigation of a person alleged to be in possession of a firearm without the legal right to do so; the foregoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after August 9, 2017, and the requesting party does not object to employee names and contact information, target names and addresses, and specific investigatory notes being redacted from the documents produced pursuant to this specific request;</p> <p>c) Any list or similar document that compares information concerning APPS List investigations undertaken by the Department and non-APPS List investigation (i.e., investigations of persons who are not identified via the APPS List but are nonetheless investigated by the Department as in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so); the foregoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after January 1, 2011;</p> <p>d) Any document seeking a request for funding, e.g., a budget change proposal, related to funding the Department's investigations of people who are not identified by the APPS List but who are nonetheless investigated by the Department because they are believed to be in possession of a firearm notwithstanding a legal inability to do so; the foregoing request is limited to records created or amended (or both) after August 9, 2017.</p> <p>On May 31, 2018, requester followed up: In response to your letter of May 17, 2018, please:</p> <p>(A) advise if any responsive documents have been located to date,</p> <p>(B) advise if any such documents are exempt from production per the Department of Justice's analysis,</p> <p>(C) advise whether the Department of Justice agrees to rolling production of documents,</p> <p>(D) identify any specific difficulties the Department of Justice is encountering,</p> <p>(E) advise if, as of the receipt of this letter, you have contacted Deputy Attorney General Anthony Hakl, who I believe to be the government employee who has the most knowledge relevant to this request; and, (E) state the Department of Justice's best estimate as to concluding its response to the relevant request.</p>			<p>request, there have been 39,432 APPS cases closed and 206 non-APPS cases closed between January 1, 2013 and April 23, 2018. The Department has no documents responsive to your fourth request.</p> <p>June 27, 2018 Response:</p> <p>We have resolved three out of four of your requests for information. However, in regards to (b), the individual who is best suited to respond to this request is out of the office until next week. A letter and any responsive information will be sent shortly. On May 17, 2018, a status letter was sent. Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been working on determining whether the Department has any responsive information. At this point, we are still working on this request. We would like to continue our search and will keep you informed of the progress.</p> <p>On May 3, 2018, an EOT letter was sent.</p>		
PRA - 2018 - 00873	Pintha	Richard	4/23/2018	<p>DOB: [REDACTED]; 1984; 2 counts Grand Larceny, City: Claremont, County: Los Angeles; 1984; 1 count Grand Larceny, City: Palm Springs, County: Riverside Please email report.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request.</p> <p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>		
PRA - 2018 - 00888	Brennan	Frederick	4/23/2018	<p>All arrest records, plea records, conviction records, etc., concerning NATHAN D. ATKINSON.</p> <p>FULL NAME: NATHAN DANIEL ATKINSON</p> <p>DOB: [REDACTED]</p> <p>CDL: A6922436</p>	Completed	Nicole Arnaiz	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies</p>		

PRA - 2018 - 00889	Gerardo Gonzales	4/23/2018	<p>(1) Investigation findings and recommendations on case# 85-0181-01A, (76 page findings).</p> <p>(2) Investigation Report No. 1 (Vol. 1) in its entirety;</p> <p>(3) Report No. 2 (Vol. 2) in its entirety;</p> <p>(4) Report No. 3 (Vol. 3) in its entirety;</p> <p>On May 4, 2018, Gerardo Gonzales followed up: Hello Mrs. Stott, we just talked on the phone, and you requested more information regarding my two contacts with your office in reference to a "Child Abuse Investigation performed by order of John K. Van De Kamp from 1985 to 1986". Please see attached documents as proof on the existence of the requested public and confidential documents, further please note that John K. Van De Kamp was working in a conspiracy with Ed Jagels to refuse Gerardo Gonzales access to the Confidential portion of the investigation, please note that Gerardo Gonzales won a motion to access to the confidential documents identified as Volume 1, Volume 2, and Volume 3, without a doubt the documents exist and Gerardo Gonzales is entitled to said documents.</p> <p>Please review Doc. 1; Letter from John K. Van De Kamp, "We enclose herewith our report Culminating our procedural inquiry into child abuse cases in Kern County." This letter is dated 09/26/1986 and is addressed to Edward R. Jagels, Kern County District Attorney. So the investigation was performed, your office has a copy and Gerardo Gonzales is legally and formally requesting a full and complete copy of this document.</p> <p>Next Doc. 2 is the motion before Len M. McGilvray dated 10/14/1986, "MOTION GRANTED", two pages: this document proves Gerardo Gonzales is legally entitled to the private and confidential portions of the report, Gerardo Gonzales is now legally and formally requesting full and true copies of the confidential parts in the investigation.</p> <p>Next Doc. 3 is a letter from John K. Van De Kamp to the Kern County Superior Court asking for the "DISPOSITION OF ARREST AND COURT ACTION (JUS 8715). Gerardo Gonzales requests legally and formally for the current Attorney General of California Xavier Becerra, to legally and formally start a full and complete investigation into this request by John K. Van De Kamp, as to the meaning and also the response by the Kern County Courts. (This clearly points to a conspiracy between Kern County and John K. Van De Kamp) further Gerardo Gonzales is legally and formally requesting any and all cases where the AG's Office would request the information asked for in this letter, and the reason for the inquiry by this office.</p> <p>All these requests are in reference to Gerardo Gonzales' 35 year attempts to clear his name and receive just compensation from Kern County, The State of California, and does 1-150 to be named at a later date for Wilful and Knowing Constitutional Violation. Gerardo Gonzales has contacted multiple Kern County Agencies as a result of filing a Writ of Coram Nobis on or about Nov. 2014 for discovery of all Court Documents including this report asked for in discovery motions, to date Kern County Officials have refused to provide any legally and formally requested copies. Gerardo Gonzales is now going to be represented by The Innocence Project, and is requesting all the above copies as soon as possible. I have a contact date of the third week of May, 2018, with my knew Attorney.</p> <p>Investigation # 85-0181-01A, conducted by order of John K Van De Kamp started in 1985 and ending</p>	Completed	Michael Dolid	<p>that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. (Also see PC section 11105.) The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Prolaw, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to an investigation, number 85-0181-01A, or case numbers SC028231 and SC028469. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request. We were, however, able to locate a file pertaining to case number SC027986, as it pertained to the Fifth District Court of Appeal case no. F072787. In review of our file, we were unable to locate any requested documents.</p> <p>In as much as it appears you are requesting police investigative reports, and if you have not done so already, you may try contacting the law enforcement agency that produced the investigative report. These public agencies may have additional information in case files that can be disseminated to members of the public.</p> <p>May 16, 2018: On May 1, 2018, you sent an online submission form requesting the following: "We need the Attorney General of California to provide a full copy of investigation number '85-0181-01A' this investigation was performed between 1985-1986, and performed because of my cases as follows SC027986, SC028231, and SC028469, we have a Court Order from a Kern County Judge addressing the fact the we were entitled to the whole report including, 'findings and recommendations, Volume 1, Volume 2, and Volume 3' of the interviews etc." On May 3, 2018, we sent a response letter to you indicating we did not have any such records. The next day, May 4, 2018, you contacted our office and after multiple communications (phone calls, phone messages, emails), we had a better understanding of the specific records you were requesting. In fact, the follow-up email you provided that day, along with the relevant attachments</p>
--------------------	------------------	-----------	---	-----------	---------------	---

in 1986 in Kern County California.
Thank You for your prompted attention and cooperation in this matter!

thereto [(1) the September 26, 1986 letter from our Office to Kern County District Attorney Edward Jagels regarding the investigation report and (2) the October 14, 1986, minute order regarding motion for pretrial discovery of the investigation report] was very helpful in assisting us in determining whether responsive documents exist. Based on the new information that we received, we were able to determine you were interested in the Report on the Kern County Child Abuse Investigation dated September 1986 (Public Report). On May 10, 2018, our office emailed you a copy of the Public Report.

However, the question remained whether the confidential materials contained in volumes 1 through 3 and associated with the Public Report still existed over 30 years later. Dawn Stott and I conducted an extensive search for those confidential records, and, on May 16, 2018, we called and informed you that we believed we had located the records. We further told you that the records were in another location and that we had requested a copy of the documents be forwarded to us for review. On Tuesday, May 22, 2018, I informed you over the phone that we had received the records late Monday afternoon and that we were able to confirm the documents were, in fact, volumes 1 through 3 containing the confidential materials related to the Public Report. The contents of these confidential binders are described as follows:

Volume 1

Kern County Child Abuse Investigation

Case number 85-0181-01A; Report Number 1

March 26, 1986; Policy and Procedure: Bates DOJ 7761-DOJ 18051

Contents

I. Introduction

II. Kern County Sheriffs Department

A. Attachments

III. Kern County District Attorney's Office

A. Attachments

IV. Kern County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services

A. Attachments

Volume2

Kern County Child Abuse Investigation

Case number 85-0181-01A; Report Number 2

March 26, 1986; B.H. Bates DOJ18052;-D0J18390

Contents

I. Introduction

II. Kern County Sheriffs Department

A. Chronology

B. Attachments

III. Kern County District Attorney's Office

A. Chronology

B. Attachments

IV. Kern County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services

A. Chronology

B. Attachments

V. Master Chronology

PRA - 2018 - 00800	Atchley	Clare	4/24/2018	Court documents for Kimberly Margaret Sabraw, 25, who is also the daughter of U.S. District Court Judge Dana Sabraw, is facing four misdemeanor charges of driving under the influence, driving with a blood alcohol level greater than 0.08 percent, reckless driving, and wrong-way driving. She posted \$2,500 bail the night of the incident and was ordered to appear in court on April 12.				<p>VI. Interview Reports</p> <p>A. J. H.</p> <p>B. R. H.</p> <p>Volume3</p> <p>Kern County Child Abuse Investigation</p> <p>Case number 85-0181-0IA; Report Number 3</p> <p>June 13, 1986; Bates DOJ 7265- DOJ 7760</p> <p>Contents</p> <p>I. Introduction</p> <p>II. Kern County Sheriffs Department</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>III. Kern County District Attorney's Office</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>IV. Kern County Welfare Department, Child Protective Services</p> <p>A. Footnotes</p> <p>B. Attachments</p> <p>Unfortunately, as I mentioned to you during our phone conversation on Tuesday, we cannot provide these records to you under the PRA. The documents you seek are all part of an investigation file in a criminal matter and, thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) No time limit exists for this exemption, so it continues to apply even after the investigation at issue has concluded. (Williams v. Superior Court, supra, at p. 355.)</p> <p>However, as we have mentioned to you several times during our communications, while we must deny your request under the PRA, our Office does comply with current and valid court orders. As noted above, you have provided us with a photocopy of a minute order dated October 14, 1986 in the matter People vs. Cheryl Gonzalez, Gerardo Gonzales (Action nos. 28231, 27986, 28469) wherein it appears a motion for pretrial discovery of the Public Report and related confidential information in volumes 1 through 3 was granted. While that court order may have been valid at that time, its our understanding that these criminal cases have long been resolved and the files thus closed. Under such circumstances, we cannot accept the copy of a 32-year-old minute order in a closed case as being a current and valid lawful court order. Furthermore, in our conversations, you indicated that the prosecution at that time complied with the court order by providing the requested records to your attorney. Given apparent prior compliance with this order, we are under no obligation to provide the documents again in response to a PRA request.</p> <p>Please find the requested documents attached: specifically, a copy of the criminal complaint and the arraignment form.</p>	Completed	Stephanie Chow
--------------------	---------	-------	-----------	--	--	--	--	---	-----------	----------------

<div> <div>PRA</div> <div>- 2018</div> <div>- 0080</div> <div>1</div> </div>	<div> <div>Rathgeber</div> <div>Geoff</div> </div>	<div> <div>4/24/2018</div> </div>	<div> <div>(1) All submissions on The Supply Chains Act - Compliance Information Submission website currently located at: https://oag.ca.gov/sb657/submission.</div> <div>(2) All documents compiling or discussing submissions on The Supply Chains Act - Compliance Information Submission website.</div> </div>	<div> <div>Completed</div> <div>John M. Applebaum</div> </div>	<div> <p>Response to Request No. 1:</p> <p>To the extent that this request seeks records related to investigations, or contents from investigatory files, the Attorney General's office will not be producing any records or information responsive to this request because the records are exempted from PRA disclosure under the official information privilege (Evid. Code, § 1040), incorporated into the PRA through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), and under the terms of Government Code section 6255. Official information means information acquired in confidence by a public employee in the course of his or her duty and not open, or officially disclosed, to the public prior to the time the claim of privilege is made. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (a).) A public entity has a privilege to refuse to disclose official information if disclosure of the information is against the public interest because there is a necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information that outweighs the necessity for disclosure in the interest of justice. (Evid. Code, § 1040, subd. (b).)</p> <p>This office has identified non-exempt records responsive to this request consisting of a list of businesses and all information submitted by these entities who have voluntarily submitted information to the Attorney General's office about their compliance with SB 657, which is being electronically produced in conjunction with this response. In providing these requested records, we redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with any other sensitive personal information, based on considerations of personal privacy. The home and e-mail addresses, personal telephone numbers, and personal information of individuals are exempt from disclosure under the PRA because the public interest in nondisclosure of such private information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Response to Request No. 2:</p> <p>To the extent that this request seeks records subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or workproduct doctrine, these records are also expressly exempt from production and the request is also denied. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (b), (k), (r), 6254.10; Evid. Code, §§ 950, et seq., 1040; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories, that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc.: § 2018.030.) The attorney work-product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or</p> </div>
--	--	-----------------------------------	--	--	--

legal theories. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833, quoting BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see also Caito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Similarly, the attorney-client privilege authorizes a client to "refuse to disclose, and to prevent another from disclosing, a confidential communication between client and lawyer." (Evid. Code, § 954.) The attorney-client privilege is absolute, and disclosure may not be ordered, without regard to relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances peculiar to the case. (Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. Superior Court (2014) 231 Cal.App.4th 1214, 1227.) The work product privilege and the attorney-client privilege are incorporated into the PRA as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal.App.4th at p. 833.) Records that compile or discuss submissions made under the Act that contain the office's attorneys' impressions, conclusions, opinions, work product, and legal theories, prepared by the attorneys employed by the Attorney General's office, are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or work product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the PRA.

To the extent that this request seeks records related to investigations, or contents from investigatory files, which are expressly exempt from production under the PRA and the official information privilege, the request is denied. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (f) and (k).) Evid. Code, § 1040; Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure, without limitation, investigatory records of the Attorney General. In Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the closure of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355; see also Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1069-1070; Rackauckas v. Superior Court (2002) 104 Cal.App.4th 169, 176-178.)

This office has identified non-exempt records responsive to this request consisting of a list of businesses and all information submitted by these entities who have voluntarily submitted information to the Attorney General's office about their compliance with SB 657, which is being electronically produced in conjunction with this response. In providing these requested records, we redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with any other sensitive personal information, based on

PRA - 2018 - 00803	McDaniel	Charles	4/24/2018	Certified with learning disability and adha. 1997 or 1998 I was certified with learning disability in Pasadena Ca. I have moved out of state scene then and not able to find the paper work. The last I knew from the testing center I was certified with learning disability and adha and put under the ADA. I am trying to find out how to retrieve the paper work, which I need for school and testing center.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a learning disability, you may wish to direct your request to the office that issued the certification, any educational institution that may have required that you file this paperwork, or the Department of Education. Information on how to contact the Department of Education can be found on their website at http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/dl/cdl/ .	considerations of personal privacy. The home and e-mail addresses, personal telephone numbers, and personal information of individuals are exempt from disclosure under the PRA because the public interest in nondisclosure of such private information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)
PRA - 2018 - 00806	Daly	Miles	4/24/2018	I am searching for a lawsuit filed in either January or February of 2006 by the Coachella Valley Water District against either the City of Indio or the Indio Water Authority for nonpayment of groundwater replenishment charges. I am also searching for a cross complaint filed by either the City of Indio or the Indio Water Authority in April 2008 seeking Coachella Valley Water District to show proof of benefits Indio receives from replenishment assessment charges. I understand terms of a settle agreement were reached in December 8th, 2008. The settlement agreement was made on June 30th, 2009.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case number or other identifying information, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	
PRA - 2018 - 00808	Anaya	Edward	4/24/2018	I am looking for information on the AG's policy on when it charges beyond "direct costs of duplication" (e.g., 10/cents a page) pursuant to the Public Records Act. I have reviewed your October 2017 memo titled, "California Department of Justice Guidelines for Assess to Public Records" and it's not clear to me when the Department charges beyond these direct costs. Would you please elaborate and/or provide a few examples Thank you!	Completed	Erin Nickless	Attached please find two pages from the Department of Justice Administrative Manual that further outline how fees are determined. Our office will notify the requester of any duplication fees before documents are produced, so that the requester can decide beforehand if they are willing to pay the requested fee. I hope this information is of assistance.	
PRA - 2018 - 00809	Melendez	Matthew	4/24/2018	Any power of attorney and businesses in or under my identity. I been having trouble locating any of my information. I have reason to believe there may be a fraud using my personal information. I recently ordered my credit report and noticed a lot of activity that looks suspicious	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in	

PRA - 2018 - 0081 0	Salistean	Maria	4/24/ 2018	I want my record information removed. Name and Phone number associated with other people. Not sure if anyone has an association with my phone number. I want that removed my information private. For safety reasons. I don't want my name to be found in the public records and associated with possible people I know or I might be related. I get phone calls and when I am at work they will call other people to track me down.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are requesting to have your name removed from public records sites. We note that your public records request is directed to the DOJ but seeks records from other departments, agencies, or private entities. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We do not have records fitting the description provided, and must therefore decline your request as we do not have custody or control over the subject matter records.	your correspondence that you are seeking information on a credit report, we suggest that you contact the credit reporting agency directly. Information on how to dispute a credit report can be found at https://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0151-disputing-errors-credit-reports . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA - 2018 - 0082 3	Taurus	Marcae	4/24/ 2018	Mr. Marcae is seeking documents regarding the founding and funding of advertising for an organization in California.	Completed	Erin Nickless	This e-mail is in response to your phone message left on the Public Records line for the California Department of Justice. Our attempt to call you at the number provided resulted in a busy signal, so we are reaching out via e-mail. We are seeking clarification of your request. I was unable to make out the name of the organization provided in your voice mail, which is the subject of the documents you seek. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We only maintain records for matters that fall within our Department's purview, as we are not a depository for records for the entire State. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you can provide more information regarding the documents that you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records that are in our custody and control.	
PRA - 2018 - 0081 2	Sánchez	Gerardo	4/25/ 2018	Carlos Alexis Mendoza Mother Last Name: [REDACTED] Birthdate: [REDACTED] Country of birth: Cuba Cell Phone: [REDACTED] He has asked me for some personal collaboration related to his family and I need to know his behavior, moral rectitude, social and moral reliability.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request based on the information provided. If you could provide more specifics such as case names, numbers, or a description of the documents you are seeking, we might be able to better direct a search for documents. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody	

						or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)
						In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 - 00813	Hevel David	4/25/2018	All of your records on William Joe Barnes. [REDACTED] . Birthplace: Sheridan, Arkansas.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)
						We were unable to conduct a conclusive search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.
						In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:
						-Providing the actual name or title of the record.
						-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.
						-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.
						-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.
						-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.

									<p>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</p> <p>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</p> <p>To the extent that you seek records related to an individual, the scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018 - 00815	Owney	Lorenzo	4/25/2018	Rental history, eviction check, collection	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information that might appear on a credit report, you may wish to contact the credit reporting agency directly.</p>		
PRA - 2018 - 00817	Bond-Graham	Darwin	4/25/2018	I'm seeking all records in the Attorney General's possession regarding Telegraph Patients Group, or TPG. TPG is a nonprofit, mutual benefit organization that must register and file papers with the OAG Charities Section. It's registration number is CT0176290 and its corporate organization number is 3412695. Please provide all copies of IRS Form 990s and any correspondence between the attorney general's office and TPG.	Completed	Scott Chan	<p>A diligent search of our files shows that our office does not have any documents responsive to your request.</p> <p>All information that is a matter of public record regarding nonprofits, including Telegraph Patients Group, is available for viewing at the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y. This information was obtained from</p>		

PRA - 2018-00848	Roy	Casey	4/25/2018	Marriage And Divorce Dates Doesn't Need To Be Official I Need To Apply For A Passport Leaving June 3rd 2018	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>the office of the California Secretary of State. You could search by using the "Organization Name" or by using "State Charity Registration Number" or other identifying search criteria.</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA - 2018-00908	Grant	Chris	4/25/2018	April 24, 2017 clarification received on April 27, 2017: Any documents outlining the history, membership, and early activities of the Black Guerilla Family (BGF). So long as they don't conflict with 6254(f), or privacy issues... April 6, 2017 request: I would like to know if your department has releasable documents on California prison gangs?	Completed	Anabelle Renteria	<p>Specifically, you sought information regarding the status of Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018". This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. A courtesy copy of this initiative is enclosed for your records. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA - 2018-00816	Solis	Jose	4/26/2018	Public record.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created.

PRA - 2018 - 00818	Waltz Jordan	4/26/2018	I am requesting a digitized, DVD, or even VHS copy of footage of a violent incident between two killer whales, Kandu and Corky, at SeaWorld San Diego which occurred on August 21, 1989. This VHS or VHS-C tape sent in by Terry L. Kennemore to the office of then Attorney General John Van de Kamp. I have spoken to the submitter at length about the details, and she did not give a date when she mailed the VHS or VHS-c tape. When searching for this, I recommend looking between the dates of August 22 – October 31, 1989. Please feel free to call me by the contacts provided if you need anymore information.	Completed	Erin Nickless	If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA - 2018 - 00819	Wirrick Bonnie	4/26/2018	Donald R. Craig any criminal or marriage/divorce records that are public.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth--th%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105, Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018 - 00820	Mackenzie Mu ka	4/26/2018	I would like all criminal, traffic and public records on Deanna Marie Emmons. Date of birth: [REDACTED]. I do not know the exact dates, but I know that she served time for meth.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, §

6253(c))																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA - 2018-00825	Spaccia Gina	4/26/2018	BFS Case Number: R1-17-002320-0001 I am looking to obtain the date on which the lab results for this particular case were delivered. On what date did the lab notify the agency of their results?		Completed	Shannon Patterson	Initially we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but cites a request made to another agency as well. Absent a request for representation by California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Department of Justice will respond only on its own behalf. We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. Under the California Public Records Act, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (b).) The "lab results" you seek, to the extent that they exist, are investigative files of the Attorney General that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).
PRA - 2018-00827	Ruesch Andre w	4/26/2018	All case numbers and documents in connection with Global Exchange Vacation Club; Resort Vacations, Inc.; Global Vacations Marketing Corp.		Completed	Melissa Weke	Pursuant to your request, attached please find the two documents associated with your complaint against Global Exchange Vacation Club: Complaint dated April 23, 2018 Response letter dated April 25, 2018 We hope this information will be helpful to you.
PRA - 2018-00832	Lee Daniel	4/26/2018	I have several websites where I show Violent Crime and Murder by California cities. I compare these crimes by numbers per 10,000 and 100,000 after downloading all of them into an Excel spread sheet. The FBI no longer publishes this information on their website since the year 2015. Can you direct me to a source for this information? OLD SOURCE: FBI UCR - Crimes Known to Law Enforcement - Table 8 - by state and then by city.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On May 7, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On May 21, 2018, DOJ responded: The information that you requested can be found on the OpenJustice Data Portal at https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data . Specifically, I would like to direct your attention to the Crimes and Clearances (including Arson) section. The Department of Justice responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA - 2018-00866	Zimmerman Vasco	4/26/2018	I am writing in regards to the number of signatures obtained as of April 24-18. The date in which random signature check's was set to take place please get back to me as soon as possible with a rough estimate of the signatures obtained for Initiative #17-0046 burglaries and robberies.		Completed	Anabelle Renteria	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request. For signatures regarding a specific initiative you may contact the Secretary of State's Election Division at 1500 11th St., 5th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814. This completes our response to your request.
PRA - 2018-01236	James Jesse	4/26/2018	The Attorney Generals opinion on the impact of the Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018. I would like a copy of this document so I may validate your opinion examine its merits. I ask how many signatures are need to collect approval for ballot placement and why ballot placement amount for we the people is only 300,000 and original quantity for Prop 57 needed how much. Why does voter restorative act require 586,000 and other ballot measures not so much, why is there a difference in qualifying criteria and to who's authority is it that makes that particular decision. Should not it be representative of equal protection ... Official Voter Information Guide General Election Tuesday, November 8, 2016, page 59 ...		Completed	Anabelle Renteria	In response to your request for the General Attorney's opinion, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) However, I have included a copy of Initiative 17-0044, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" for your records. Additionally, you sought information regarding the status of this initiative as well as "how many signatures are needed to collect approval for ballot placement and why ballot placement amount for we

PRA - 2018-00828	Murphy Ben	4/27/2018	I maintain DNA with a genealogy company. Seeing that California used DNA from a genealogy company to arrest this serial killer, please advise through Public Records Act, which genealogy company released customer DNA?	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>the people is only 300,000". This initiative is currently in circulation and has not yet qualified for ballot. You may contact the Secretary of State's office for any inquiries regarding signatures for past and current ballot measures.</p> <p>You also requested a copy of the "Official Voter Information Guide General Election Tuesday November 8, 2016, page 59". A copy is enclosed. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services does not consult, contract, or use "genealogy" companies for DNA analysis. Therefore, we must decline your request.</p>
PRA - 2018-00829	Kandel Jason	4/27/2018	I'd like all the Snapshot Prediction Result Phenotype Reports from Jan. 1, 2015 to the present date, like this one that's posted on the California Department Justice's Featured Unidentified Persons section of its website, here: https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-0518... < https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/media/Poster%20for%20SDAO-CA-SPD-01-051826-Snapshot_1.pdf >	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ is only aware of one other Unidentified Deceased Persons case, in addition to the link you provided, in California that has completed deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) Phenotyping. The report is attached. Please note that there is no known California law that requires a coroner or medical examiner to submit phenotyping results to our office (see below for applicable sections). Therefore, there may be other cases that have had phenotyping completed within California.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA Government Code (GOV) section 27521, subdivision (g) If the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency performing a postmortem examination or autopsy with the aid of the dental examination and any other identifying findings is unable to establish the identity of the body or human remains, the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency shall submit dental charts and dental X-rays of the unidentified deceased person to the Department of Justice on forms supplied by the Department of Justice within 45 days of the date the body or human remains were discovered. • CA GOV Code section 27521, subdivision (h) If the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency performing a postmortem examination or autopsy with the aid of the dental examination and other identifying findings is unable to establish the identity of the body or human remains, the coroner, medical examiner, or other agency shall submit the final report of investigation to the Department of Justice within 180 days of the date the body or human remains were discovered. The final report of investigation shall list or describe the information collected pursuant to the postmortem examination or autopsy conducted under subdivision (b), and any anthropology report, fingerprints, photographs, and autopsy report.

PRA - 2018 - 0083 0	Wagner (NBC Uni) th	Elizabeth	4/27/ 2018	Please provide all Reports of Investigation or closing memos for CLOSED investigations in 2015, 2016, 2017 through the present day in 2018 (either calendar or fiscal year is acceptable) for computer misuse cases in which "porn" or "pornographic" or "pornography" are referenced.		Completed	Shannon Patter son	On May 9, 2018, and extension letter was sent. On May 29, 2018, our office responded: The DOJ does not have any responsive materials regarding your public records act request.
PRA - 2018 - 0083 1	Hazleton	Weston	4/27/ 2018	I'm looking for records pertaining to the California Judicial Nomination Evaluation (JNE) Committee/Board. More specifically, I'm looking for a record on the results of a judge appointment decision regarding Cynthia Zimmer. The best window of time I have is 2003-2008; I know the decision was made during the Schwarzenegger Administration. To clarify, I am looking for any records related to Cynthia Zimmer's judicial application.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Judicial Nomination Evaluation Committee, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018 - 0083 3	Roy	Casey	4/27/ 2018	Marriage And Divorce Dates Doesn't Need To Be Official I Need To Apply For A Passport Leaving June 3rd 2018		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA - 2018 - 0083 4	Garcia	Patricia	4/27/ 2018	I am a victim of identity theft and would like to review any info. around the time that this started, I was sexually assaulted. Reported to DOJ & Police.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. If you wish to review the police report, you would need to contact the local department that created the report. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Though the Public Records Unit was unable to locate disclosable documents based on the information provided, you may wish to contact our Victims' Services Unit. More information can be found on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/victimservices .

PRA - 2018 - 0083 5	Castañeda a Leonardo	4/27/2018	Any and all emails from staff at the San Diego Office of the Attorney General that include any of the following keywords: "Stephan" "Summer Stephan" "Genevieve Jones Wright" and sent or received during April 2018.	Completed	Seth Goldstein	<p>On May 11, 2018, Public Records sent an extension letter</p> <p>May 21, 2018 response: Our office has searched for responsive documents and anticipates producing them by May 30.</p> <p>However, our office has recovered some records which, in whole or in part, are exempt from disclosure. In providing the records requested, we will withhold records that are entirely exempt and, if appropriate, provide partially redacted records. Some of the records that you seek contain certain private identifying information. As a result, we will redact non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as, names of family members, social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning various litigation and advice matters.</p> <p>are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. Moreover, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc.:§ 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p>
------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------	---	-----------	----------------	---

							Finally, certain records that are responsive to the request are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)].) May 30, 2018 response: Included with this letter is a CD containing the documents referenced in my previous May 21 letter.
PRA - 2018-00836	Nordby	Eden	4/27/2018	Documents referring to CERTAINT EED CORPORATION, including consumer complaints, past or ongoing investigations, enforcement actions, or settlements. Specifically, documents regarding the Landmark series of roof shingles. The relevant time period for these complaints is January 1, 2004 to the present.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)
PRA - 2018-00837	Campos (NBCUni)	Robert	4/27/2018	Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy the following, which I understand to be held by your agency: Please provide all Reports of Investigation or closing memos for CLOSED investigations in 2015, 2016, 2017 through the present day in 2018 (either calendar or fiscal year is acceptable) for computer misuse cases in which "porn" or "pornographic" or "pornography" are referenced.	Completed	Shannon Patterson	An extension letter was sent on May 9, 2018. May 29, 2018 response: The DOJ does not have any responsive materials regarding your public records act request.
PRA - 2018-00838	Lemmon	Kathryn	4/30/2018	Audio file from 911 call to police in Los Osos, CA on 2/27/2018 at approximately 8:00 am from residential phone number (805) 528-1033. Any police report from 2/27/2018 concerning Matthew Lemmon. All video tapes from police cameras or security devices relative to Matthew Lemmon being detained and hospitalized on 2/27/2018. Surveillance footage from security cameras outside or inside Sierra Vista Hospital Emergency Room from the day of 2/27/2018.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA - 2018-00839	Rousseau	Kathleen	4/30/2018	devin vijay maharaj	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. If you could provide more specificity as to the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more informed search of our records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited

PRA - 2018 - 00840	Engfield	Nyall	4/30/2018	Civil Action Search Criminal Record Search Bankruptcy Search I would like a confirmation that I have no civil actions in which I am named, no criminal record or bankruptcy. I am an attorney and this is for my attorney license in Canada.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth-Death-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since the documents sought relate to legal cases, you may wish to contact the courts in which the cases would have been heard.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal</p>
--------------------	----------	-------	-----------	---	-----------	---------------	--

PRA - 2018-00841	Kennedy	Jennifer	4/30/2018	<p>This is a request under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. for the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records in the following litigation: Sharon Brown et al. v. State of California et al., 2d District appeal #BC279936.</p> <p>I request the opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of the following documents: (1) Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; (2) OAG's Opposition to Motion for Expedited Hearing; (3) any motion by plaintiffs' counsel to withdraw or be relieved as counsel in this matter; and (4) any and all correspondence between plaintiffs' counsel and the Office of the Attorney General regarding the intention of plaintiffs' counsel to withdraw from this action or be relieved as counsel.</p>	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We were able to locate the following documents: Appellants' Motion for Expedited Hearing; OAG's Opposition to Motion for Expedited Hearing; and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record.</p>
PRA - 2018-00842	Cargill	Justin	4/30/2018	<p>Justin Sanders Cargill, First born of the Cargill Family of the Cargill Corporation of Father and of JSW Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. of Mother of Warburton Royals.</p> <p>Hostage on the West Coast Sovereign Democrat and White House Historical Association using supreme Courts with Defense law only. Placed at 567 Bailhache Avenue Healdsburg California, Eureka immunization of Sonoma County.</p> <p>Over the first frontier, read The Warriors, The First Frontier of America. The Holt series, and The Renegade. The Kents of Kentland, Holts on the Oregon Frontier and the Boyle Merchant Kings.</p> <p>Offensive, Merchant, Customs of Republican Citizens Military Tribunal of Halls of Justice Union funding of Wells Fargo of Industrial Harvest Importing, Exporting, Regional Laws in accordance with Democrat Court functions of M&A Investment banking Judge Attorney Contracting and in order of M&A, Halls, Courts, and functioning it as a Sovereign Supreme Court of Defense without M&A and Halls.</p> <p>My family is the second frontier of Cargill, Warburton, Hughes. Me as first born.</p> <p>Google the business licenses J.S.W. Mergers and Acquisitions LLC.. They are online.</p> <p>By law they count as my Kings Licenses.</p> <p>Cargill Birth Right ownership of 18%, Shakespeare Theater laws of Man's Free Agency of New England 2 Queen of Seventh Day Adventist Bible of Dollar of Queen, 18%. Company in the name of another not of Cargill which is just Birth Right funding and then of Adulthood of M&A filing is of Full ownership.</p> <p>New England Sovereign merged in over my birth and with Regan Historian Association over Washington Assembler of Postal and Cause of debt on Currency. Not printing Face of President when legislating causing further problems as that is the law of legislating currency. And Copy writing which is Plagiarism.</p> <p>Used Institutions of colleges, which is where Shakespeare laws resided, and took of them and turned them into a Jailing system instead. Took Company of Cargill assembled over lands and of the Warburton M&A of Rockefeller Center and Road Island estates and of U.K. of England of Australia with British as blue and with communism of blue of Democrat of Russia and commissioning, constructed into nation through world war 1,2, Vietnam, civil rights into market crash of eighties and I am of age and licensed and it is needed as this has had many negative consequences and International.</p> <p>Ministries putting M&A in the middle of CEO legislation when they are suppose to be Funded by the Investment Bankings not CEO'ing them. My entire life as the licenses of terms of debt are legislated I have been routinely Defensively jailed to county area of estate where i am filed of California then to Portland with M&A market marked with Craine, unbuilt, rooftop marked with flag where Broadcasting system is to be, to Lewis county onto a Tribal railroad Citizens Military park</p> <p>account left on auction, and always us the same charges to the same locations. using me this way as apart of there Defense that they run as a one-way law of blue Democrat with no Offensive law of Republican and have Broadcast running over roof top to ground of satellite over and in on center Pillard. Holding Ground. I have sent out letters and emails to there party of businesses.</p> <p>There Woodbridge is on area coding and zoning of JSW Mergers and Acquisitions LLC. of Warburton of my Ownership as Cargill. Republican. I filled Republican on all three regions of West. Time sensitive. They always drop the charges after they move me up the west as they deactivate the licenses as they legislate them while I have to us social services and then reactivate them with JSC Military Justice System then run in grab me, knock me out and I wake up back on estate. over and over again my entire life. using satellite as Camo holding ground. In and Out of Sit. Taking of the people. Theft, Rap and Murder.</p> <p>While they Put high Price on lands, Low wage, tax, and over all SSN, EIN, using Postal and over region county lands. I have EIN on Portland Building of my Family Business. I am to have Offensive attorney</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request lacks specificity. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. To the extent that you are inquiring about a "Portland Building which is the Finance Building Shut," the California Department of Justice is a California State Agency. For more information on our locations, please visit our website at https://oag.ca.gov/contact.</p>

PRA - 2018-00846	Petrali	Jennifer	4/30/2018	Please provide police and court records relating to Joseph Adrian Ketner in relation to the assault and battery of Steven Berry on July 9, 2016. Filed in Solano County on July 25, 2016. Case No.: FCR322885. I am the paralegal working on Mr. Berry's assault and battery case and would like any available police and court records in relation to Mr. Joseph A Ketner attacking Mr. Berry with a baseball bat.				Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Consumer Affairs' Contractors State License Board.</p> <p>Information on how to contact the Contractors State License Board can be found on their website at http://www.cslb.ca.gov/Resources/PAO/public_records.pdf.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any cases that match the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place, or the local court in which the cases were filed.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA - 2018-00847	Petrali	Jennifer	4/30/2018	Please provide the police, court and jail records relating to Steven Edward Berry in relation to his 1) Wet and Reckless Driving, 2) Vehicle Accident, and 3) the Assault and Battery of Mr. Berry by his neighbor Joseph Adrian Ketner on July 9, 2016. Filed in Solano County Court on August 1, 2016, Case No.: FCR323052. I am the paralegal working on Mr. Berry's assault and battery case and would like any available police, court, and jail records in relation to Mr. Steven Berry's Wet and Reckless case, as well as any records relating to the assault and battery of Mr. Berry by Mr. J. Ketner.				Erin Nickless	Completed	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any cases that match the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you may wish to direct your</p>

						request to their office. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place, or the local court in which the cases were filed. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA - 2018-00912	ABM	ABM	4/30/2018	HCIDLA Case Number: SO231872 Los Angeles Housing & Community Development. We're looking for a rental agreement, dated May 15, 1987, submitted by the tenant in this case. The tenant's name is Carol Collins, and the address in question is [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you appear to be seeking records related to the Los Angeles Housing & Community Investment Department, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA - 2018-00927	Gardner	Jeremy	4/30/2018	1) "... access to Federal Records of common knowledge as for business address for the following government agency's [sic] or office located within the State of California. A.) United States Postal Service. California Headquarters. B.) California Secretary of Correction's Central Office C.) CDCR Office of Legal Affairs @ Headquarters D.) Division of Adult Institutions E.) California's Victim Compensation Board D.) The American Civil Liberties Union" and 2) "... information and access to Record possessed by your office about your office and it's [sic] Administrative Committee. I am in search for acquiring names of individuals on your Administrative Committee's [sic] both State as well as National including their currentness in Position and Title. For example: State of California's Chief Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General etc.. the same as for National. I must also insist upon the specific information you will provide, be as descriptive as possible as for positions and duty for my better understanding."	Completed	Erin Nickless Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) In regard to your first request, to the extent that you are seeking to have our office research addresses of other government agencies for you, we must deny your request. The DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. In regard to your second request, to the extent that you are seeking records from National or other state agencies, we must decline your request. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our

custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) For the information that our office does have, we will respond in part and decline in part. You requested: "State of California's Chief Attorney General, Assistant Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General."

The Attorney General of the State of California is Xavier Becerra. The Chief Deputy Attorney General of the State of California is Sean McCluskie. Enclosed please find from our public website two pages about the California Department of Justice and two pages about the Attorney General.

In regard to the other titles listed in your request, we are seeking clarification of the scope of records you are hoping to obtain. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. The Department of Justice employs approximately 4,500 people. Over 1,100 of these employees are attorneys, the majority of which are employed in classifications that include the terms "Deputy Attorney General" and "Assistant Attorney General."

Given the above information, please let us know if you would like to narrow the scope in regard to your request for the search terms "Assistant Attorney General" and "Deputy Attorney General" so that we can determine how many pages of responsive records we would need to produce and tabulate appropriate duplication fees. The Department of Justice is authorized to charge the direct cost of duplication for providing copies of records in response to public records requests. (Gov. Code § 6253 subd.(b).) The cost for making a photocopy of a paper record is \$0.10 per page.